Logics for AI, Examination problems 2003

- 1. Give a definition of bisimulation and zig-zag equivalence between Kripke models.
- 2. Determine, if the following formulas are provable in S5 (give a derivation, if yes, and a countermodel, if no):
 - (a) $\Diamond \Box \Box p \to \Box p$;
 - (b) $\Box p \to \neg \Box \Box q$.
- 3. Show that the following set of formulas is K4-consistent:

$$\{\diamondsuit(q \land \neg p \land \Box(\neg p \land q) \land \diamondsuit r \land \diamondsuit \neg r), \diamondsuit(p \land \neg q \land r)\}$$

Draw an appropriate Kripke model.

- 4. Show that there is a node x in the canonical model \mathcal{W}^c for **K** such that $\mathcal{W}^c, x \models \Box \varphi \rightarrow \varphi$, for any formula φ ;
- 5. The three card problem (hexagon). There are three cards 0,1,2 and three players a, b, c. Each takes one card and keeps it secret.
 - (a) Draw the Krike model representing the knowledge situation using the variables of the form q_a , q_b , q_c with q = 0, 1, 2 (1_a means a has the card 1, etc.).
 - (b) Assume that it is publicly announced by the referee who sees all the players cards: *"If a has 0, then b has 1".* Write down the update formula. Draw the updated model.
 - (c) It is assumed that the players are S5 reasoners and can make only true statements. Suppose a says: "If I have 0, then b has 1". Write down the update formula. Draw the new model representing the updated knowledge.