

On the Gain of Entanglement Assistance in the Classical Capacity of Quantum Gaussian Channels

A. S. Holevo* and M. E. Shirokov**

Steklov Mathematical Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

Received December 30, 2014

DOI: 10.1134/S0001434615050363

Keywords: *quantum information transmission, quantum state, quantum Gaussian channel, classical entanglement-assisted channel capacity, classical unassisted channel capacity, Gaussian minimizer, entropy, Weyl operator, symplectic space.*

Quantum channels are trace-preserving completely positive linear maps between Banach spaces of trace-class operators (Schatten classes of order 1); these are noncommutative analogs of Markov operators in classical probability theory. They also play the role of dynamical maps in quantum theory [1, Chap. 6].

The main characteristics determining the information properties of a quantum channel include its classical entanglement-assisted and unassisted capacities. The classical (unassisted) capacity $C(\Phi)$ of a channel Φ determines the limit rate of classical information transmission through Φ with any block coding at the input and the corresponding measurement at the output, and the classical entanglement-assisted channel capacity $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi)$ supposes, in addition, the presence of an entangled state between the input and the output of the channel Φ (a detailed description of transmission protocols can be found in [1, Chap. 8]). Since entanglement is an additional resource, it follows that $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi) \geq C(\Phi)$ for any channel Φ .

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable Hilbert space. By $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ we denote the Banach space of all trace-class operators on \mathcal{H} and by $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H})$, the subset of $\mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H})$ consisting of all positive operators with trace 1; we refer to such operators as *quantum states* and denote them by Greek letters ρ, σ, \dots . We denote a set of quantum states $\{\rho_i\}$ with probability distributions $\{\pi_i\}$ by $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$ and call it an *ensemble of states*; the state $\bar{\rho} = \sum_i \pi_i \rho_i$ is called the *average state* of the ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$.

A *quantum channel* is a trace-preserving completely positive linear map $\Phi: \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ [1, Chap. 6]. Let $H(\rho)$ be the von Neumann entropy of a state ρ , and let $H(\rho||\sigma)$ be the quantum relative entropy of states ρ and σ . For a given channel Φ and any ensemble $\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}$ of input quantum states, the output χ -quantity is determined by the expression

$$\chi_{\Phi}(\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}) \doteq \sum_i \pi_i H(\Phi(\rho_i)||\Phi(\bar{\rho})) = H(\Phi(\bar{\rho})) - \sum_i \pi_i H(\Phi(\rho_i)),$$

where the second relation holds under the condition $H(\Phi(\bar{\rho})) < +\infty$.

If a channel Φ is finite-dimensional (i.e., $\dim \mathcal{H}_A, \dim \mathcal{H}_B < +\infty$), then the Holevo–Schumacher–Westmoreland (HSW) theorem implies

$$C(\Phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^{-1} C_{\chi}(\Phi^{\otimes n}),$$

where C_{χ} is the χ -capacity of the channel, which is defined by

$$C_{\chi}(\Phi) = \sup_{\{\pi_i, \rho_i\}} \chi_{\Phi}(\{\pi_i, \rho_i\});$$

*E-mail: holevo@mi.ras.ru

**E-mail: msh@mi.ras.ru

here the supremum is taken over all ensembles of quantum states.

The Bennett–Shor–Smolin–Thapliyal (BSST) theorem [2] gives the following expression for the classical entanglement-assisted capacity of a finite-dimensional channel:

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi) = \sup_{\rho} I(\Phi, \rho),$$

where $I(\Phi, \rho) = H(\rho) + H(\Phi(\rho)) - H(\Phi, \rho)$ is the quantum mutual information of Φ in the state $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ (here $H(\Phi, \rho)$ is the exchange entropy of the channel Φ in the state ρ).

Determining the capacity of an infinite-dimensional quantum channel requires imposing certain constraints on the states used as codes, e.g., a constraint on the mean energy of these states. We take a positive self-adjoint operator F on \mathcal{H}_A and subject the input states $\rho^{(n)}$ of the channel $\Phi^{\otimes n}$ to linear constraints of the form¹

$$\text{Tr } \rho^{(n)} F^{(n)} \leq nE, \quad (1)$$

where

$$F^{(n)} = F \otimes \cdots \otimes I + \cdots + I \otimes \cdots \otimes F. \quad (2)$$

Another special feature of the infinite-dimensional case is the necessity of using generalized ensembles of quantum states. Such an ensemble can be defined as the Borel probability measure μ on the set $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ of quantum states; its average state is the barycenter $\bar{\rho}(\mu)$ of the measure μ . The output χ -quantity of a generalized ensemble μ for a channel Φ is defined as

$$\chi_{\Phi}(\mu) \doteq \int H(\Phi(\rho) \| \Phi(\bar{\rho}(\mu))) \mu(d\rho) = H(\Phi(\bar{\rho}(\mu))) - \int H(\Phi(\rho)) \mu(d\rho),$$

where the second equality holds under the condition $H(\Phi(\bar{\rho}(\mu))) < +\infty$ [1, Chap. 10].

The operational definition of the classical entanglement-assisted and unassisted capacities of a quantum channel with linear constraints can be found in [3], where the corresponding generalizations of the HSW and BSST theorems were also proved under certain conditions on the form of the constraints. A maximally complete generalization of the BSST theorem for an infinite-dimensional channel with linear constraints is given in [4].

By the HSW theorem for a channel with linear constraints [3, Proposition 3], the classical entanglement-assisted capacity of a channel Φ with constraint (1) is determined by the regularized expression

$$C(\Phi, F, E) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} n^{-1} C_{\chi}(\Phi^{\otimes n}, F^{(n)}, nE),$$

in which the operator $F^{(n)}$ is defined by (2) and

$$C_{\chi}(\Phi, F, E) = \sup_{\mu: \text{Tr } \bar{\rho}(\mu) F \leq E} \chi_{\Phi}(\mu). \quad (3)$$

By the BSST theorem for a channel with linear constraints [4, Theorem 1], the classical capacity of a channel Φ with constraint (1) is determined by the expression

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) = \sup_{\rho: \text{Tr } \rho F \leq E} I(\rho, \Phi),$$

where $I(\rho, \Phi)$ is the quantum mutual information (appropriately generalized to the infinite-dimensional case).

For any quantum channel Φ , we have

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) \geq C(\Phi, F, E), \quad (4)$$

which follows straightforwardly from the operational definitions of the channel capacities under consideration. The strict inequality in (4) means that using the entangled state between the input and the

¹The quantity $\text{Tr } \rho^{(n)} F^{(n)}$ (finite or infinite) is defined as $\sup_t \text{Tr } \rho^{(n)} P_t F^{(n)} P_t$, where P_t is the spectral projection operator $F^{(n)}$ corresponding to the interval $[0, t]$.

output increases the limit speed of information transmission over the channel Φ and gives a gain in the size of an optimal code; this gain increases exponentially as $n \rightarrow \infty$. There naturally arises the question about conditions under which (4) becomes an equality (a strict inequality). For an unconstrained finite-dimensional channel, in [5], a criterion for the equality $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi) = C_\chi(\Phi)$ was obtained, which is formally stronger than the equality $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi) = C(\Phi)$ (these equalities are equivalent if the χ -capacity of the channel Φ is additive).

In this paper, we obtain sufficient conditions under which (4) is a strict inequality for bosonic Gaussian channels, which play a central role in the theory of quantum information systems with continuous variables.

Let \mathcal{H}_X ($X = A, B, \dots$) be the space of an irreducible representation of *canonical commutation relations*

$$W_X(z)W_X(z') = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{2}\Delta_X(z, z')\right]W_X(z' + z),$$

where (Z_X, Δ_X) is a symplectic space and the $W_X(z)$ are the Weyl operators [1, Chap. 11]. By s_X we denote the number of modes of the system X , which is determined by $2s_X = \dim Z_X$.

A bosonic Gaussian channel $\Phi: \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_A) \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}(\mathcal{H}_B)$ is determined by the action of the adjoint map $\Phi^*: \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_B) \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ on the Weyl operators:

$$\Phi^*(W_B(z)) = W_A(Kz) \exp\left[ilz - \frac{1}{2}z^\top \alpha z\right], \quad z \in Z_B,$$

where K is a linear operator $Z_B \rightarrow Z_A$, l is a row $(2s_B)$ -vector, and α is a real symmetric $(2s_B) \times (2s_B)$ matrix satisfying the inequality

$$\alpha \geq \pm \frac{i}{2} \left[\Delta_B - K^\top \Delta_A K \right].$$

For a long time, one of the main open problems in quantum information theory was the conjecture about *Gaussian minimizers*, according to which the output entropy of a Gaussian channel Φ , i.e., the function $\rho \mapsto H(\Phi(\rho))$, attains its minimum at a pure Gaussian state.

Recently, the Gaussian minimizer conjecture has been proved for a large class of Gaussian channels with the property of gauge covariance or contravariance [6]. Among the many consequences of this result, we mention the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let Φ be a Gaussian nontrivial ($K \neq 0$) gauge-covariant or gauge-contravariant channel, and let $F = \sum_{ij} \epsilon_{ij} a_i^\dagger a_j$ be a gauge-invariant oscillatory energy operator (here $[\epsilon_{ij}]$ is a positive definite matrix). Then*

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) > C(\Phi, F, E). \quad (5)$$

Proof. As shown in [6] and [7], it follows from the truth of the Gaussian minimizer conjecture for a channel Φ that

- (1) $C(\Phi, F, E) = C_\chi(\Phi, F, E)$;
- (2) there exists an optimal ensemble-measure μ at which the supremum in (3) is attained, and the barycenter of this measure is a nondegenerate Gaussian state.

Combining these assertions, observing that the finiteness of $\text{Tr } F\rho$ for an operator F of the form specified above implies the finiteness of the entropy $H(\rho)$, and applying Theorem 2 from [4], we conclude that the equality $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) = C(\Phi, F, E)$ can hold only if Φ is a classical-quantum channel of discrete type. By Proposition 5 from [4], this means that $K = 0$, i.e., Φ is a completely depolarizing channel, for which $C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) = C(\Phi, F, E) = 0$. \square

Remark 1. Theorem 1 is valid for any quadratic energy operator F , provided that condition (18) from [8] holds in the form of a strict operator inequality, because this ensures the satisfiability of conditions (1) and (2) specified above.²

Remark 2. The assumptions of Theorem 1 ensuring the strictness of inequality (5) are essential: there exist nontrivial Gaussian channels with linear constraints for which

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) = C(\Phi, F, E) = C_{\chi}(\Phi, F, E) > 0.$$

The simplest one-mode ($s = 1$) example of such a channel Φ and an operator F is given in [9]. An important special feature of this example is the noncompactness of the solution set of the inequality $\text{Tr } F\rho \leq E$, which implies the absence of an optimal measure for the channel Φ with constraint (1).

Remark 3. Under the assumptions of Theorem 1, the gain from entanglement assistance is estimated from below as

$$C_{\text{ea}}(\Phi, F, E) - C(\Phi, F, E) \geq H(\bar{\rho}(\mu_{\text{opt}})) + H(\Phi(\rho_0)) - H(\Phi, \bar{\rho}(\mu_{\text{opt}})),$$

where $\bar{\rho}(\mu_{\text{opt}})$ is the average state of an optimal ensemble (determined by using an optimization procedure described in [7]) and ρ_0 is the pure vacuum state.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 14-21-00162).

REFERENCES

1. A. S. Holevo, *Quantum Systems, Channels, Information* (MTsNMO, Moscow, 2010) [in Russian].
2. C. H. Bennett, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and A. V. Thapliyal, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* **48** (10), 2637 (2002).
3. A. S. Holevo, *Teor. Veroyatnost. Primenen.* **48** (2), 359 (2003) [*Theory Probab. Appl.* **48** (2), 243 (2004)].
4. A. S. Holevo and M. E. Shirokov, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* **49** (1), 19 (2013) [*Problems Inform. Transmission* **49** (1), 15 (2013)].
5. M. E. Shirokov, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* **48** (2), 3 (2012) [*Problems Inform. Transmission* **48** (2), 85 (2012)].
6. V. Giovannetti, A. S. Holevo, and R. García-Patrón, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **334** (3), 1553 (2015).
7. A. S. Holevo, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **70** (2), 141 (2015).
8. A. S. Holevo, *On the Constrained Classical Capacity of Infinite-Dimensional Covariant Channels*, [arXiv: 1409.8085](https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8085).
9. A. S. Holevo, *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii* **50** (1), 3 (2014) [*Problems Inform. Transmission* **50** (1), 1 (2014)].

²If $\text{Ran } K = Z_A$, then, by virtue of Proposition 5 from [4], the requirement that the barycenter in condition (2) is nondegenerate is not necessary for proving Theorem 1, and it suffices to require the fulfillment of condition (18) from [8] in its usual form.