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#### Abstract

This is the second paper in a series. In part I we developed deformation theory of objects in homotopy and derived categories of DG categories. Here we extend these (derived) deformation functors to an appropriate bicategory of artinian DG algebras and prove that these extended functors are pro-representable in a strong sense.
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## 1. Introduction

In our paper [ELOI] we developed a general deformation theory of objects in homotopy and derived categories of DG categories. The corresponding deformation pseudo-functors are defined on the category of artinian DG algebras dgart and take values in the 2-category Gpd of groupoids. More precisely if $\mathcal{A}$ is a DG category and $E$ is a right DG module over $\mathcal{A}$ we defined four pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{Def}^{\mathrm{h}}(E), \operatorname{coDef}^{\mathrm{h}}(E), \operatorname{Def}(E), \operatorname{coDef}(E): \text { dgart } \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d} .
$$

The first two are the homotopy deformation and co-deformation pseudo-functors, i.e. they describe deformations (and co-deformations) of $E$ in the homotopy category of DG $\mathcal{A}^{o p}$-modules; and the last two are their derived analogues. The pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}^{\mathrm{h}}(E), \operatorname{coDef}^{\mathrm{h}}(E)$ are equivalent and depend only on the quasi-isomorphism class of the DG algebra $\operatorname{End}(E)$. The derived pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}(E), \operatorname{coDef}(E)$ need some boundedness conditions to give the "right" answer and in that case they are equivalent to $\operatorname{Def}^{\mathrm{h}}(F)$ and $\operatorname{coDef}^{\mathrm{h}}(F)$ respectively for an appropriately chosen h-projective or h-injective DG module $F$ which is quasi-isomorphic to $E$ (one also needs to restrict the pseudo-functors to the category dgart_ of negative artinian DG algebras).

In this second paper we would like to discuss the pro-representability of these pseudo-functors. Recall that "classically" one defines representability only for functors with values in the category of sets (since the collection of morphisms between two objects in a category is a set). For example, given a moduli problem in the form of a pseudo-functor with values in the 2-category of goupoids one then composes it with the functor $\pi_{0}$ to get a set valued functor, which one then tries to (pro-) represent. This is certainly a loss of information. But in order to represent the original pseudo-functor one needs the source category to be a bicategory.

It turns out that there is a natural bicategory 2 -adgalg of augmented DG algebras. (Actually we consider two versions of this bicategory, 2 -adgalg and $2^{\prime}$-adgalg, but then show that they are equivalent). We consider its full subcategory 2 - dgart_ whose objects are negative artinian DG algebras, and show that the derived deformation functors can be naturally extended to pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E): 2 \text { - dgart } \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}, \quad \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E): 2^{\prime}-\text { dgart }_{-} \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d} .
$$

Then (under some finiteness conditions on the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)=H(\mathcal{C})$, where $\mathcal{C}=$ $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ ), we prove pro-representability of these pseudo-functors by the DG algebra $\hat{S}=$ $(B \bar{A})^{*}$ which is the linear dual of the bar construction $B \bar{A}$ of the minimal $A_{\infty}$-model of $\mathcal{C}$ (Theorems 14.1, 14.2, 15.1, 15.2).

This pro-representability appears to be more "natural" for the pseudo-functor coDEF ${ }_{-}$, because the bar complex $B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A$ is the "universal co-deformation" of $A$ considered as an $A_{\infty}$-module over $A^{o p}$. The pro-representability of the pseudo-functor $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}$may then be formally deduced from that of $\mathrm{coDEF}_{-}$, but we can find the corresponding "universal deformation" (of $A$ ) only under an additional assumption on $A$ (Theorem 15.12). We also make the equivalence $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E) \cong 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S},-)$ explicit in this case (Corollary 15.15).

These theorems describe formal deformation theory of objects in derived categories. Our formal moduli spaces are in general "non-commutative DG schemes". In contrast, in the paper [TV] global commutative moduli $D^{-}$- stacks of objects in DG categories are studied. In ELOII] we treat in detail an example where we can construct a global moduli space of objects.

Namely, take some vector space $V$ of dimension $n$, and consider the object $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \in$ $D_{c o h}^{b}(\mathbb{P}(V))$, where $W \in \operatorname{Gr}(m, V)(k), \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1$. The corresponding DG algebra $\hat{S}$ satisfies the following property: $H^{i}(\hat{S})=0$ for $i \neq 0$, and for $m \neq 1$ the algebra $H^{0}(\hat{S})$ is non-commutative. This suggests the existence of a non-commutative space $\operatorname{NGr}(m, V)$ such that there is a $k$-point $x$ associated with each subspace $W \subset V$ of dimension $m$. In ELOIII we construct these non-commutative spaces and call them "non-commutative Grassmanians". These non-commutative Grassmanians should be treated as true moduli spaces of objects $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \subset D_{\text {coh }}^{b}(\mathbb{P}(V))$. One of their properties is the following: if $x \in \operatorname{NGr}(m, V)(k)$ is the point corresponding to $W \subset V$, then we have $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_{x}} \cong H^{0}(\hat{S})$.

We also note that the space $\operatorname{NGr}(\operatorname{dim} V-1, V)$, which can be considered as a (dual) noncommutative projective space, is closely related to the non-commutative projective space of Kontsevich-Rosenberg [KR]. The example of non-commutative Grassmanians should admit a generalization to a large class of families of objects in derived categories, for instance, "noncommutative Jacobians".

The first part of the paper is devoted to preliminaries on $A_{\infty}$-algebras, $A_{\infty}$-modules and $A_{\infty}$-categories. The only non-standard point here is the DG category of $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules for an $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ and a DG algebra $\mathcal{C}$, and the corresponding derived category $D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$. We also discuss certain functors defined by the bar complex of an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra.

In the second part we introduce the Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functor $\mathcal{M C}(A)$ : dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$ for a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$. The Maurer-Cartan groupoid $\mathcal{M \mathcal { C } _ { \mathcal { R } }}(A)$ can be described by means of some $A_{\infty}$-category with the same objects, which are solutions of the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation (Section (5). We develop the obstruction theory for the MaurerCartan pseudo-functor (Proposition 6.11). Finally, we show the invariance of (quasi-) equivalence classes of the constructed $A_{\infty}$-categories and Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functors under the quasiisomorphisms of $A_{\infty}$-algebras (Theorems 7.1, 7.2).

In the third part we define the bicategories 2 -adgalg and $2^{\prime}$-adgalg and the pseudo-functors coDEF _ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}$and discuss their relations. We also obtain here some results on the equivalences between the homotopy and derived (co-)deformation functors (Lemma 9.9, Theorem (11.8).

In the fourth part we prove the pro-representability theorems.
We freely use the notation and results of [ELOI]. The reference to [ELOI] appears in the form I, Theorem ... . As in [ELOI] our basic reference for bicategories is [Be].

## Part 1. $A_{\infty}$-structures and the bar complex

## 2. Coalgebras

2.1. Coalgebras and comodules. We will consider DG coalgebras. For a DG coalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ we denote by $\mathcal{G}^{\text {gr }}$ the corresponding graded coalgebra obtained from $\mathcal{G}$ by forgetting the differential. Recall that if $\mathcal{G}$ is a DG coalgebra, then its graded dual $\mathcal{G}^{*}$ is naturally a DG algebra. Also given a finite dimensional DG algebra $\mathcal{B}$ its dual $\mathcal{B}^{*}$ is a DG coalgebra.

A morphism of DG coalgebras $k \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ (resp. $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow k$ ) is called a co-augmentation (resp. a co-unit) of $\mathcal{G}$ if it satisfies some obvious compatibility condition. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ the cokernel of the co-augmentation map.

Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_{[n]}$ the kernel of the $n$-th iterate of the co-multiplication map $\Delta^{n}: \overline{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{G}}^{\otimes n}$. The DG coalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ is called co-complete if

$$
\overline{\mathcal{G}}=\bigcup_{n \geq 2} \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{[n]} .
$$

A $\mathcal{G}$-comodule means a left DG comodule over $\mathcal{G}$.
A $\mathcal{G}^{\mathrm{gr}}$-comodule is cofree if it is isomorphic to $\mathcal{G} \otimes V$ with the obvious comodule structure for some graded vector space $V$.

Denote by $\mathcal{G}^{o p}$ the DG coalgebra with the opposite co-multiplication.
Let $g: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ be a homomophism of DG coalgebras. Then $\mathcal{H}$ is a DG $\mathcal{G}$-comodule with the co-action $g \otimes 1 \cdot \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ and a DG $\mathcal{G}^{o p}$-comodule with the co-action $1 \otimes g \cdot \Delta_{\mathcal{H}}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{G}$.

Let $M$ and $N$ be a right and left DG $\mathcal{G}$-comodules respectively. Their cotensor product $M \square_{\mathcal{G}} N$ is defined as the kernel of the map

$$
\Delta_{M} \otimes 1-1 \otimes \Delta_{N}: M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes N
$$

where $\Delta_{M}: M \rightarrow M \otimes \mathcal{G}$ and $\Delta_{N}: N \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes N$ are the co-action maps.
A DG coalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ is a left and right DG comodule over itself. Given a DG $\mathcal{G}$-comodule $M$ the co-action morphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \otimes M$ induces an isomorphism $M=\mathcal{G} \square_{\mathcal{G}} M$. Similarly for DG $\mathcal{G}^{o p}$-modules.

Definition 2.1. The dual $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ of an artinian $D G$ algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is called an artinian $D G$ coalgebra.
Given an artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R}$, its augmentation $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow k$ induces the co-augmentation $k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{*}$ and its unit $k \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ induces the co-unit $\mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow k$.
2.2. From comodules to modules. If $P$ is a DG comodule over a DG coalgebra $\mathcal{G}$, then $P$ is naturally a DG module over the DG algebra $\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}$. Namely, the $\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}$-module structure is defined as the composition

$$
P \otimes \mathcal{G}^{*} \xrightarrow{\Delta_{P} \otimes 1} \mathcal{G} \otimes P \otimes \mathcal{G}^{*} \xrightarrow{T \otimes 1} P \otimes \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{*} \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \mathrm{ev}} P,
$$

where $T: \mathcal{G} \otimes P \rightarrow P \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is the transposition map.
Similarly, if $Q$ is a DG $\mathcal{G}^{o p}$-comodule, then $Q$ is a DG module over $\mathcal{G}^{*}$.
Let $P$ and $Q$ be a left and right DG $\mathcal{G}$-comodules respectively. Then $P \otimes Q$ is a DG $\mathcal{G}^{*}$-bimodule, i.e. a $\operatorname{DG} \mathcal{G}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{G}^{* 0}$-module by the above construction. Note that its center

$$
Z(P \otimes Q):=\left\{x \in P \otimes Q \mid a x=(-1)^{\bar{a} \bar{x}} x a \text { for all } a \in \mathcal{G}^{*}\right\}
$$

is isomorphic to the cotensor product $Q \square_{\mathcal{G}} P$.
3. Preliminaries on $A_{\infty}$-algebras, $A_{\infty}$-Categories and $A_{\infty}$-modules
3.1. $A_{\infty}$-algebras and $A_{\infty}$-modules. The basic reference for $A_{\infty}$-structures is (LH].

Let $A=\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} A^{n}$ be a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded $k$-vector space. Put $B A=T(A[1])=\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} A[1]^{\otimes n}$. Then the graded vector space $B A$ has natural structure of a graded coalgebra with counit:

$$
\Delta\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)=\sum_{m=0}^{n}\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{m}\right) \otimes\left(a_{m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right),
$$

$$
\varepsilon\left(a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { for } n \geq 1 \\ 1 & \text { for } n=0\end{cases}
$$

Here we put $a_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{n}=1$ for $n=0$. Put also $\overline{B A}=B A / k$. Then $\overline{B A}$ is also a graded coalgebra, but it is non-counital. The most effective way to define the notion of a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded (non-unital) $A_{\infty}$-algebra is the following:

Definition 3.1. $A$ structure of a (non-unital) $A_{\infty}$-algebra on $\mathbb{Z}$-graded vector space $A$ is a coderivation $b: \overline{B A} \rightarrow \overline{B A}$ of degree 1 such that $b^{2}=0$, i.e. a structure of a $D G$ coalgebra on the graded coalgebra $\overline{B A}$.

Such a coderivation is equivalent to a sequence of maps $b_{n}=b_{n}^{A}: A[1]{ }^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A[1], n \geq 1$, of degree 1 satisfying for each $n \geq 1$ the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r+s+t=n} b_{r+1+t}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes r} \otimes b_{s} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes t}\right)=0 . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the coderiveation $b: \overline{B A} \rightarrow \overline{B A}$ naturally extends to a coderivation $b: B A \rightarrow B A$ (which we denote by the same letter), thus $B A$ also becomes a DG coalgebra, and $\varepsilon \cdot b=0$. Thus, its dual $\hat{S}=(B A)^{*}$ is naturally a DG algebra.

Let $s: A \rightarrow A[1]$ be the translation map. Identify $A^{\otimes n}$ with $A[1]^{\otimes n}$ via the map $s^{\otimes n}$, and $A$ with $A[1]$ via the map $s$. Let $m_{n}=m_{n}^{A}: A^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A$ be the maps corresponding to $b_{n}$. Then $m_{n}$ has degree $(2-n)$ and this sequence of maps satisfies for each $n \geq 1$ the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r+s+t=n}(-1)^{r+s t} m_{r+1+t}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes r} \otimes m_{s} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes t}\right)=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $m_{1}$ is a differential on $A$, hence $A$ is a complex. Further, $m_{2}$ is a morphisms of complexes and it is associative up to homotopy given by $m_{3}$. Thus, the cohomology $H(A)$ is naturally a (possibly non-unital) graded algebra. Further, if $m_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$, then $A$ is a (possibly non-unital) DG algebra.

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be (non-unital) $A_{\infty}$-algebras. The most effective way to define the notion of an $A_{\infty}$-morphism between them is the following:

Definition 3.2. An $A_{\infty}$-morphism $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ is a (counital) homomorphism of $D G$ coalgebras $f: B A_{1} \rightarrow B A_{2}$ (which we denote by the same letter).

Thus, the assignment $A \mapsto B A$ is the full embedding of the category of (non-unital) $A_{\infty}$ algebras and $A_{\infty}$-morphisms to the category of counital DG coalgebras.

An $A_{\infty}$-morphism $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ is equivalent to a sequence of maps $\widetilde{f_{n}}: A[1]^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A[1]$, $n \geq 1$, of degree zero satisfying for each $n \geq 1$ the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s}=n} b_{s}^{A_{2}}\left(\widetilde{f_{i_{1}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widetilde{f_{i_{s}}}\right)=\sum_{r+s+t} \widetilde{f_{r+1+t}}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes r} \otimes b_{s}^{A_{1}} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes t}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $f_{n}: A_{1}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be the maps corresponding to $\widetilde{f_{n}}$ with respect to our identifications. Then $f_{n}$ has degree $(1-n)$ and this sequence of maps satisfies for each $n \geq 1$ the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s}=n}(-1)^{\epsilon\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}, s\right)} m_{s}^{A_{2}}\left(f_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes f_{i_{s}}\right)=\sum_{r+s+t}(-1)^{r+s t+s} f_{r+1+t}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes r} \otimes m_{s}^{A_{1}} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes t}\right), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}, s\right)=(s-1) i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s-1}+\frac{s(s+1)}{2}$.
In particular, $f_{1}$ is a morphism of complexes, and $H(f): H\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow H\left(A_{2}\right)$ is a morphism of (non-unital) graded associative algebras. An $A_{\infty}$-morphism $f$ is called quasi-isomorphism if $f_{1}$ is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes.

Further, we are going to define the DG category $A-\bmod _{\infty}$ of $A_{\infty} A$-modules for an $A_{\infty}$ algebra $A$.

Definition 3.3. $A$ structure of an $A_{\infty}$-module over $A$ on the graded vector space $M$ is a differential $b^{M}: B A \otimes M[1] \rightarrow B A \otimes M[1]$ of degree 1, which defines a structure of a $D G$ $B A$-comodule on the graded cofree $(B A)^{g r}$-comodule $B A \otimes M[1]$.

Such a structure is equivalent to a sequence of maps $b_{n}=b_{n}^{M}: A[1]^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M[1] \rightarrow M[1]$, $n \geq 1$, of degree 1 , satisfying for each $n \geq 1$ the identity (3.1), where $b_{i}$ is interpreted as $b_{i}^{A}$ or $b_{i}^{M}$, according to the type of its arguments. It is also equivalent to the sequence of maps $m_{n}=m_{n}^{M}: A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M \rightarrow M, n \geq 1$, of degree $(2-n)$ satisfying for each $n \geq 1$ the identity (3.2), where $m_{i}$ is interpreted as $m_{i}^{A}$ or $m_{i}^{M}$, according to the type of its arguments. In particular, $\left(m_{1}^{M}\right)^{2}=0$, hence $M$ is a complex. Again, $m_{2}^{M}$ is a morphism of complexes and $m_{2}$ is associative up to a homotopy given by $m_{3}^{M}$. Thus, $H(M)$ is naturally a graded $H(A)$-module.

If $M$ and $N$ are $A_{\infty} A$-modules, then we put

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}(M, N):=\operatorname{Hom}_{B A-\operatorname{comod}}(B A \otimes M[1], B A \otimes N[1]) .
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}^{n}(M, N)=\prod_{m \geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}_{k}^{n}\left(A[1]^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes M[1], N[1]\right),
$$

and for $\phi=\left(\phi_{m}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}^{n}(M, N)$ one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
(d \phi)_{m}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} b_{m-i+1}^{N}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(l-i)} \otimes \phi_{i}\right)-(-1)^{n} \sum_{r+s+t=m} \phi_{r+1+t}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes r} \otimes b_{s} \otimes \mathbf{1}^{\otimes t}\right), \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{s}$ in the RHS is interpreted as $b_{s}^{A}$ or $b_{s}^{M}$, according to the type of its arguments. If $\phi=\left(\phi_{m}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}(M, N)$ and $\psi=\left(\psi_{m}\right) \in \operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}(N, L)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\psi \cdot \phi)_{m}=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq m} \psi_{m-i+1}\left(\mathbf{1}^{\otimes(m-i)} \otimes \phi_{i}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will write $\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(M, N)$ instead of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A-\bmod _{\infty}}(M, N)$.
The closed morphism $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}^{0}\left(A-\bmod _{\infty}\right)$ is called quasi-isomorphism if $\phi_{1}$ is a quasiisomorphism of complexes.

The homotopy category $K_{\infty}(A)$ is defined as $\operatorname{Ho}\left(A-\bmod _{\infty}\right)$. It is always triangulated. It turns out that all acyclic $A_{\infty} A$-modules in $K_{\infty}(A)$ are already null-homotopic. Hence the corresponding derived category $D_{\infty}(A)$ is the same as $K_{\infty}(A)$. However, we will write $D_{\infty}(A)$ instead of $K_{\infty}(A)$.

Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be an $A_{\infty}$-morphism. Then we have the DG functor $f_{*}: A_{2}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow$ $A_{1}-\bmod _{\infty}$, which we call the "restriction of scalars". Namely, if $M \in A_{2}-\bmod _{\infty}$, then $f_{*}(M)$ coincides with $M$ as a graded vector space, and the differential on $B A_{1} \otimes f_{*}(M)[1]$ coincides with the differential on $B A_{1} \square_{B A_{2}}\left(B A_{2} \otimes M[1]\right)$ after the natural identification

$$
B A_{1} \otimes f_{*}(M)[1] \cong B A_{1} \square_{B A_{2}}\left(B A_{2} \otimes M[1]\right)
$$

We also have the resulting exact functor $f_{*}: D_{\infty}\left(A_{2}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{1}\right)$. If $f$ is a quasiisomorphism, then the DG functor $f_{*}: A_{2}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow A_{1}-\bmod _{\infty}$ is quasi-equivalence, and hence the functor $f_{*}: D_{\infty}\left(A_{2}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{1}\right)$ is an equivalence.

We would like also to define the $A_{\infty}$-bimodules.
Definition 3.4. Let $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ be $A_{\infty}$-algebras. $A$ structure of an $A_{\infty} A_{1}$ - $A_{2}$-bimodule on the graded vector space $M$ is a differential $b^{M}: B A_{1} \otimes M[1] \otimes B A_{2} \rightarrow B A_{1} \otimes M[1] \otimes B A_{2}$ which defines the structure of a DG comodule over $B A_{1} \otimes\left(B A_{2}\right)^{o p}$ on the $\left(B A_{1} \otimes\left(B A_{2}\right)^{o p}\right)^{g r}$ bicomodule $B A_{1} \otimes M[1] \otimes B A_{2}$.

Such a differential is given by a sequence of maps

$$
b_{i, j}: A_{1}[1]^{\otimes i} \otimes M[1] \otimes A_{2}[1]^{\otimes j} \rightarrow M[1]
$$

satisfying analogous equations. In particlular, we have a regular $A_{1}-A_{2}$-bimodule $A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$. In the case when $A_{1}=A_{2}$, we have a diagonal bimodule $A$. The DG category $A_{1}$ - $\bmod -A_{2}$ of $A_{\infty} \quad A_{1}-A_{2}$-bimodules is defined analogously (see also [KS). Again, we define $K_{\infty}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ as the homotopy category $\operatorname{Ho}\left(A_{1}-\bmod -A_{2}\right)$. All acyclic $A_{\infty}$-bimodules in $K_{\infty}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ are null-homotopic and hence the corresponding derived category $D_{\infty}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ coincides with $K_{\infty}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$.

### 3.2. Strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras.

Definition 3.5. An $A_{\infty}$-algebra is called strictly unital if there exists an element $1_{A} \in A$ of degree zero satisfying the following properties:
(U1) $m_{1}\left(1_{A}\right)=0$;
(U2) $m_{2}\left(a, 1_{A}\right)=m_{2}\left(1_{A}, a\right)=a$ for each $a \in A$;
(U3) for $n \geq 3, m_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ vanishes if at least one of $a_{i}$ equals to $1_{A}$.
Such an element $1_{A}$ is called a strict unit.
Clearly, if a strict unit exists then it is unique. An $A_{\infty}$-morphism $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ of strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras is called strictly unital if $f_{1}\left(1_{A_{1}}\right)=1_{A_{2}}$, and for $n \geq 2 f_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$ vanishes if at least one of $a_{i}$ equals to $1_{A_{1}}$. Further, an $A_{\infty}-\operatorname{module} M \in A-\bmod _{\infty}$ is called strictly unital if $m_{2}^{M}\left(1_{A}, m\right)=m$ for each $m \in M$ and for $n \geq 3 m_{n}^{M}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, m\right)=0$ if at least one of $a_{i}$ equals to $1_{A}$. If $A$ is strictly unital then we denote by $D_{\infty}^{s u}(A) \subset D_{\infty}(A)$ the full subcategory which consists of strictly unital $A_{\infty} \quad A$-modules.

Analogously, if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras, then we have a notion of strictly unital $A_{\infty} \quad A_{1}-A_{2}$-bimodules, and we define $D_{\infty}^{s u}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right) \subset D_{\infty}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ as the full subcategory which consists of strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-bimodules.

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a DG algebra then it is also a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra with $m_{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$. We have an obvious DG functor $\mathcal{C}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{C}-\bmod _{\infty}$. It induces an equivalence

$$
D(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\infty}^{s u}(\mathcal{C}) .
$$

Let $A$ be an arbitrary $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Then its unitization $A_{+}:=k \cdot 1_{+} \oplus A$, which is a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra, is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{n}^{A_{+}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=m_{n}^{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \text { for any } a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A, \\
m_{1}\left(1_{+}\right)=0, \\
m_{2}^{A_{+}}\left(1_{+}, a\right)=m_{2}^{A_{+}}\left(a, 1_{+}\right)=a \text { for each } a \in A_{+}, \\
m_{n}^{A_{+}}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=0 \text { if at least one of } a_{i} \text { equals to } 1_{+} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly, the assignment $A \mapsto A_{+}$defines faithful functor from the category of $A_{\infty}$-algebras and $A_{\infty}$-morphisms to the category of strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras and strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ morphisms. Further, we have an obvious faithful DG functor $A-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow A_{+}-\bmod _{\infty}$. Its image consists of strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-modules. The induced functor $D_{\infty}(A) \rightarrow D_{\infty}^{s u}\left(A_{+}\right)$is an equivalence.

We call $A_{\infty}$-algebras of the form $A_{+}$augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebras. We also use the notation $A=\overline{A_{+}}$.

Definition 3.6. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Its bar-cobar construction $U(A)$, which is a $D G$ algebra, together with a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ quasi-isomorphism $f_{A}: A \rightarrow U(A)$ are defined by the following universal property. If $\mathcal{B}$ is a $D G$ algebra, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-morphism then there exists a unique morphism of $D G$ algebras $\varphi: U(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ such that $f=\varphi \cdot f_{A}$.

More explicitly, $U(A)$ equals to $T(\overline{B \bar{A}}[-1])$ as a graded algebra, and the differential comes from the differential and comultiplication on $\overline{B \bar{A}}$. The $A_{\infty}$-morphism $f_{A}$ is the obvious one. 3.3. Minimal models of $A_{\infty}$-algebras. An $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ is called minimal if $m_{1}^{A}=0$. Each (strictly unital) $A_{\infty}$-algebra is quasi-isomorphic to the minimal (strictly unital) $A_{\infty}$ algebra.
 There exists an $A_{\infty}$-algebra structure on $H(A)$ such that
a) $m_{1}=0$ and $m_{2}$ is induced by $m_{2}^{A}$;
b) there exists an $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism of $A_{\infty}$-algebras $f: H(A) \rightarrow A$ such that $f_{1}$ induces the identity in cohomology.

Moreover, if $A$ is strictly unital then this $A_{\infty}$-structure on $H(A)$ and the quasi-isomorphism can be chosen to be strictly unital.
3.4. Perfect $A_{\infty}$-modules and $A_{\infty}$-bimodules. Let $A$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra. The category $\operatorname{Perf}(A)$ of perfect $A_{\infty} A$-modules is the minimal full thick triangulated subcategory of $D_{\infty}^{s u}(A)$ which contains $A$.

Further, if $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras then the category $\operatorname{Perf}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ of perfect $A_{\infty} \quad A_{1}-A_{2}$-bimodules is the minimal full thick triangulated subcategory of $D_{\infty}^{s u}\left(A_{1}-A_{2}\right)$ which contains $A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$.
3.5. $A_{\infty}$-categories. The notion of an $A_{\infty}$-category is a straightforward generalization of the notion of an $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Namely, a non-unital $A_{\infty}$-category $\mathcal{A}$ is the following data:

- the class of of objects of $\mathcal{A}$;
- for each two objects $X_{1}, X_{2}$ the graded vector space $\operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$;
- for each finite sequence of objects $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in \mathcal{A}, n \geq 1$, the map

$$
m_{n}^{\mathcal{A}\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)}: \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{n-1}, X_{n}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{0}, X_{n}\right)
$$

of degree $(2-n)$, such that for any $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m} \in \mathcal{A}$ the graded vector space $\underset{1 \leq i, j \leq m}{\bigoplus} \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right)$ becomes an $A_{\infty}$-algebra.

If $\mathcal{A}$ is an $A_{\infty}$-category then $\operatorname{Ho}(\mathcal{A})$ is a pre-category, i.e. a "category" which may not have identity morphisms.

An element $\mathbf{1}_{X} \in \operatorname{Hom}(X, X)$ of degree zero is called a strict identity morphism if it satisfies the conditions (U1), (U2), (U3) from Definition 3.5, where $a$ and $a_{i}$ are arbitrary morphisms
such that the equalities make sense. An $A_{\infty}$-category is called strictly unital if each object has a strict identity morphism. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-category then $\operatorname{Ho}(\mathcal{A})$ is a true category.

A (strictly unital) $A_{\infty}$-algebra can be thought of as a (strictly unital) $A_{\infty}$-category with one object.

Let $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2}$ be $A_{\infty}$-categories. An $A_{\infty}$-functor $F: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ is the following data:

- an object $F(X) \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for each object $X \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$;
- for each finite sequence of objects $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, n \geq 1$, the map

$$
F\left(X_{0}, \ldots, X_{n}\right): \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{n-1}, X_{n}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes \operatorname{Hom}\left(X_{0}, X_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(F\left(X_{0}\right), F\left(X_{n}\right)\right)
$$

of degree $(1-n)$, such that for any $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{m} \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$ we obtain an $A_{\infty}$-morphism between $A_{\infty}$-algebras

$$
\bigoplus_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \operatorname{Hom}\left(Y_{i}, Y_{j}\right) \rightarrow \underset{1 \leq i, j \leq m}{\bigoplus} \operatorname{Hom}\left(F\left(Y_{i}\right), F\left(Y_{j}\right)\right)
$$

The definition of a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-functor between strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-categories is analogous to the definition of a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-morphism between strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras.

A strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-functor $F: \mathcal{A}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{2}$ between strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-categories is called quasi-equivalence if the following conditions hold:

- the map $F(X, Y): \operatorname{Hom}(X, Y) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(F(X), F(Y))$ is a quasi-isomorphism of complexes for any $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$;
- the induced functor $\operatorname{Ho}(F): \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathcal{A}_{2}\right)$ is an equivalence.
3.6. The tensor product of an $A_{\infty}$-algebra and a DG algebra. Let $A$ be an $A_{\infty}$ algebra and $\mathcal{C}$ be a DG algebra. Then their tensor $A \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is naturally an $A_{\infty}$-algebra with the following multiplications:

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{C}}=m_{1}^{A} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}+\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes d_{\mathcal{C}} ; \\
m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{C}}\left(a_{1} \otimes c_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \otimes c_{n}\right)=(-1)^{\epsilon} m_{n}^{A}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \otimes\left(c_{1} \ldots c_{n}\right) \text { for } n \geq 2,
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\epsilon=\sum_{i<j} \bar{a}_{j} \bar{c}_{i}$ (all $a_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ are homogeneous). If $A$ is strictly unital, then $A \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is also strictly unital and $\mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathcal{C}}=\mathbf{1}_{A} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{C}}$.

Remark 3.8. The constructed tensor product is a specialization of the complicated construction of the tensor product of $A_{\infty}$-algebras which was first proposed in [SU. We also remark that in the case when $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras, there is a canonical $D G$ model for $A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$ :

$$
A_{1} " \otimes " A_{2}=\operatorname{End}_{A_{1}-m o d-A_{2}^{o p}}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2}\right)
$$

see [KS.
3.7. The category of $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules for an $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ and a DG algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Let $A$ be an $A_{\infty}$-algebra and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a DG algebra. We want to define the DG category of $A_{\infty}$ $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules which is analogue of the category of $(A \otimes \mathcal{C})$-modules in the case when $A$ is a DG algebra.

Definition 3.9. $A$ structure of an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module on the graded vector space $M$ is the following data:

1) A structure of a $\mathcal{C}^{g r}$-module on $M$;
2) $A$ differential $b^{M}: B A \otimes M[1] \rightarrow B A \otimes M[1]$ of degree 1 which makes $B A \otimes M[1]$ into a $D G$ comodule over $B A$ and into a $D G$ module over $\mathcal{C}$.

If we are already given with the structure of a $\mathcal{C}^{g r}$-module on $M$ then such a differential $b^{M}$ is equivalent to the sequence of maps $b_{n}=b_{n}^{M}: A[1]^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M[1] \rightarrow M[1], n \geq 1$, satisfying the following properties:

1) The maps $b_{n}^{M}$ satisfy the identities (3.1) (in the same sense as for $A_{\infty} A$-modules);
2) The differential $b_{1}^{M}$ makes $M[1]$ into a DG module over $\mathcal{C}$;
3) The maps $b_{n}^{M}$ are $\mathcal{C}^{g r}$-linear for $n \geq 2$.

Further, the corresponding maps $m_{n}=m_{n}^{M}: A^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes M \rightarrow M$ have to satisfy the following properties:

1) The maps $m_{n}^{M}$ satisfy the identities (3.2) (in the same sense as for $A_{\infty} \quad A$-modules);
2) The differential $m_{1}^{M}$ makes $M$ into a DG module over $\mathcal{C}$;
3) The maps $m_{n}^{M}$ are $\mathcal{C}^{g r}$-linear for $n \geq 2$.

If $M, N$ are $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules then we put

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}}(M, N):=\operatorname{Hom}_{B A-\operatorname{comod}} \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}-\bmod }(B A \otimes M[1], B A \otimes N[1])
$$

More explicitly,

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}-\bmod _{\infty}}^{n}}(M, N)=\prod_{m \geq 1} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{g r}}^{n}\left(A[1]^{\otimes(m-1)} \otimes M[1], N[1]\right),
$$

the differential and the compositions are defined by the formulas (3.5) and (3.6) respectively.
We will write $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}}(M, N)$ instead of $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}}(M, N)$.
Again, the homotopy category $K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ is defined as $\operatorname{Ho}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}\right)$. The acyclic $A_{\infty}$ $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module in $K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ are not null-homotopic in general, hence we define the derived category $D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ as the Verdier quotient of $K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ by the subcategory of acyclic $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules.

Remark 3.10. Notice that the structure of an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module is not equivalent to the structure of an $A_{\infty} A \otimes \mathcal{C}$-module. Moreover, there is a natural $D G$ functor $A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow A_{+} \otimes \mathcal{C}-\bmod _{\infty}$ which induces an equivalence $D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\infty}^{s u}\left(A_{+} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$. Also, in the case when $A$ is strictly unital, the $D G$ functor $A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{C}$ - $\bmod _{\infty}$ induces an equivalence $D_{\infty}^{s u}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\infty}^{s u}(A \otimes$ $\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 3.11. An $A_{\infty} \quad A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module $M$ is called $h$-projective (resp. $h$-injective) if for each acyclic $N \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$ the complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}}(M, N)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}}(N, M)\right)$ is acyclic.

It turns out that an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module is h-projective (resp. h-injective) iff it is such as a DG $\mathcal{C}$-module.

Proposition 3.12. Let $M$ be an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module. Suppose that $M$ is h-projective (resp. $h$-injective) as a $D G \mathcal{C}$-module. Then $M$ is also $h$-projective (resp. h-injective) as an $A_{\infty}$ $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module.

Proof. We will prove Proposition for h-projectives. The proof for h-injectives is analogous.
So let $M \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$ and suppose that $M$ is h-projective as a DG $\mathcal{C}$-module. Let $N$ be an acyclic $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module. The complex $K=\operatorname{Hom}_{A_{\mathcal{C}}}(M, N)$ admits a decreasing filtration by subcomplexes

$$
F^{p} K^{\cdot}=\prod_{n \geq p} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{g r}}\left(A^{\otimes n} \otimes M, N\right)
$$

The subquotients

$$
F^{p} K^{\cdot} / F^{p+1} K^{\cdot}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}\left(A^{\otimes p} \otimes M, N\right)
$$

are acyclic since the DG modules $A^{\otimes p} \otimes M$ are h-projective. Since

$$
K^{\cdot}=\lim _{\leftarrow} K^{\cdot} / F^{p} K^{\prime},
$$

the complex $K$ is also acyclic. Therefore, $M$ is h-projective as an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module.
We denote by $K_{\infty}^{P}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \subset K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ (resp. by $\left.K_{\infty}^{I}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \subset K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)\right)$ the full subcategory which consists of h-projective (resp. h-injective) $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules.

Theorem 3.13. For each $M \in A_{\mathcal{C}}$-mod $_{\infty}$, there exist quasi-isomorphisms $M \rightarrow I, P \rightarrow$ $M$,where $I \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$ is $h$-injective and $P \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$ is $h$-projective. The natural functor $K_{\infty}^{P}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \quad$ (resp. $K_{\infty}^{I}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ ) is an equivalence.

Proof. First we construct a quasi-isomorphism $p M \rightarrow M$ with h-projective $P$. Namely, let $p M$ be the total complex of the bicomplex

$$
\cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n} \otimes M \xrightarrow{d^{n}} \mathcal{C}^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \otimes M,
$$

where $d^{n}$ is the bar differential. Then $p M$ is naturally an $A_{\infty} A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module. A quasiisomorphism of complexes $p M \rightarrow M$ is a quasi-isomorphism in $A_{\mathcal{C}}$ - $\bmod { }_{\infty}$ (with zero components $f_{n}: A^{\otimes n-1} \otimes M \rightarrow M$ for $n \geq 2$ ). Further, $p M$ satisfies property ( P ) as a DG $\mathcal{C}$-module (I, Definition 3.2). Hence, $p M$ is an h-projective $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-module.

The construction $M \rightarrow p M$ extends to the functor $p: K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow K_{\infty}^{P}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ which is right adjoint to the inclusion $K_{\infty}^{P}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$. The kernel of $p$ consists of acyclic $A_{\mathcal{C}}$-modules. Thus, the functor $K_{\infty}^{P}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ is an equivalence.

Analogously, one can construct a functor $i: K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow K_{\infty}^{I}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ which is left adjoint to the inclusion $K_{\infty}^{I}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$. Thus, the functor $K_{\infty}^{I}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ is an equivalence. Theorem is proved.

Notice that if $G: K_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is an exact functor between triangulated categories then we can define its left and right derived functors

$$
\mathbf{L} G: D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}, \quad \mathbf{R} G: D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}
$$

Namely, for each $M \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$ choose quasi-isomorphisms $P \rightarrow M, M \rightarrow I$ with hprojective $P$ and h-injective $I$, and put

$$
\mathbf{L} G(M)=G(P), \quad \mathbf{R} G(M)=G(I)
$$

Proposition 3.14. The derived categories $D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ and $D\left(U\left(A_{+}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$ are naturally equivalent.

Proof. Indeed, the "restriction of scalars" DG functor

$$
f_{A *}:\left(U\left(A_{+}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)-\bmod \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}
$$

admits a right adjoint DG functor

$$
f_{A}^{!}: A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow\left(U\left(A_{+}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)-\bmod
$$

given by the formula

$$
f_{A}^{!}(M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}\left(U\left(A_{+}\right), M\right)
$$

For any $M \in\left(U\left(A_{+}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$ - $\bmod , N \in A_{\mathcal{C}}-\bmod _{\infty}$, the adjunction morphisms $M \rightarrow f_{A}^{!} f_{A *} M$, $f_{A *} f_{A}^{!} N \rightarrow N$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Moreover, both $f_{A *}$ and $f_{A}^{!}$preserve acyclic modules. Thus, the induced functors

$$
f_{A *}: D\left(U\left(A_{+}\right) \otimes \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right), \quad f_{A}^{!}: D_{\infty}\left(A_{\mathcal{C}}\right) \rightarrow D(U(A) \otimes \mathcal{C})
$$

are mutually inverse equivalences.
3.8. The bar complex. Let $A$ be an $A_{\infty}$-algebra. The graded vector space $B A \otimes A[1] \otimes B A$ carries a natural differential which makes it into a DG bicomodule over $B A$. Namely, such a differential is determined by its components

$$
b_{i, j}: A[1]^{\otimes i} \otimes A[1] \otimes A[1]^{\otimes j} \rightarrow A[1],
$$

and we put $b_{i, j}=b_{i+j+1}^{A}$.
In particular, $B A \otimes A$ is an $A_{\infty}$-module over $A^{o p}$. It is called the bar complex and is denoted by $B A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A$.

Now let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra, and put $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. The graded vector space $B \bar{A} \otimes A[1] \otimes B \bar{A}$ also carries a natural differential which makes it into a DG bicomodule over $B \bar{A}$. In particular, $B \bar{A} \otimes A$ is an $A_{\infty}$-module over $\bar{A}^{o p}$. It is also called the bar complex and
is denoted by $B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A$. Note that $B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A$ is a $B \bar{A}$-comodule, and hence is a $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module. This makes it into an object of $\bar{A}_{\bar{S}^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$.

Analgously, we have an $A_{\infty} \quad \bar{A}_{\hat{S}}$-module $A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} B \bar{A}$.

## 4. Some functors defined by the bar complex

Definition 4.1. An augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is called
a) nonnegative if $\mathcal{C}^{i}=0$ for $i<0$;
b) connected if $\mathcal{C}^{0}=k$;
c) locally finite if $\operatorname{dim}_{k} \mathcal{C}^{i}<\infty$ for all $i$.

We say that $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible if it satisfies a), b), c).
4.1. The functor $\Delta$. Fix an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$. Consider the bar construction $B \overline{\mathcal{C}}$, the corresponding DG algebra $\hat{S}=(B \overline{\mathcal{C}})^{*}$ and the $A_{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}$ (the bar complex). If $\mathcal{C}$ is connected and nonnegative, then $B \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is concentrated in nonnegative degrees and consequently $\hat{S}$ is concentrated in nonpositive degrees.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a DG algebra. Denote by $D_{f}\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p}\right) \subset D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p}\right)$ the full triangulated subcategory consisting of DG modules with finite dimensional cohomology.

Lemma 4.2. Assume that $D G$ algebra $\mathcal{B}$ is augmented and local and complete. Also assume that $\mathcal{B}^{i}=0$ for $i>0$. Then the category $D_{f}\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p}\right)$ is the triangulated envelope of the $D G$ $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module $k$.

Proof. Denote by $\langle k\rangle \subset D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p}\right)$ the triangulated envelope of $k$.
Let $M$ be a DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module with finite dimensional cohomology. First assume that $M$ is concentrated in one degree. Then $\operatorname{dim} M<\infty$. Since $\mathcal{B}^{\text {gr }}$ is a complete local algebra the module $M$ has a filtration with subquotients isomorphic to $k$. Thus $M \in\langle k\rangle$.

In the general case by I, Lemma 3.19 we may and will assume that $M^{i}=0$ for $|i| \gg 0$. Let $s$ be the least integer such that $M^{s} \neq 0$. The kernel $K$ of the differential $d: M^{s} \rightarrow M^{s+1}$ is a DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-submodule. By the above argument $K \in\langle k\rangle$. If $K \neq 0$ then by induction on the dimension of the cohomology we obtain that $M / K \in\langle k\rangle$. Hence also $M \in\langle k\rangle$. If $K=0$, then the DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-submodule $\tau_{<s+1} M$ (I, Lemma 3.19) is acyclic, and hence $M$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\tau_{\geq s+1} M$. But we may assume that $\tau_{\geq s+1} M \in\langle k\rangle$ by descending induction on $s$.

Choose a quasi-isomorphism of $A_{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{S} \text { op }}^{o p}$-modules $B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow J$, where $J$ satisfies the property (I) as $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module (hence is h-injective).

Consider the contravariant DG functor $\Delta: \hat{S}^{o p}{ }_{-} \bmod \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{o p}{ }_{-} \bmod _{\infty}$ defined by

$$
\Delta(M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(M, J)
$$

This functor extends trivially to derived categories $\Delta: D\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{o p}\right)$.

Theorem 4.3. Assume that the $D G$ algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible. Then
a) The contravariant functor $\Delta$ is full and faithful on the category $D_{f}\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)$.
b) $\Delta(k)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 the category $D_{f}\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)$ is the triangulated envelope of the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $k$. So for the first statement of the theorem it suffices to prove that the map $\Delta: \operatorname{Ext}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(k, k) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{o p}}(\Delta(k), \Delta(k))$ is an isomorphism. The following proposition implies the theorem.

Proposition 4.4. Under the assumptions of the above theorem the following holds.
a) The complex $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(k, k)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.
b) The natural morphism of complexes $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}\left(k, B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(k, J)$ is a quasiisomorphism.
c) $\Delta(k)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$.
d) $\Delta: \operatorname{Ext}_{\hat{S}^{\circ p}}(k, k) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{\mathcal{C}^{o p}}(\Delta(k), \Delta(k))$ is an anti-isomorphism.

Proof. a) Recall the $A_{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{S}}$-module $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} B \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (subsection 3.8). Consider the corresponding $A_{\infty} \quad \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $P:=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} B \overline{\mathcal{C}}, k\right)$. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is locally finite and bounded below and $B \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ is bounded below the graded $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $P^{\mathrm{gr}}$ is isomorphic to $\left(\hat{S} \otimes \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{C}, k)\right)^{\mathrm{gr}}$. Since the complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{C}, k)$ is bounded above and the DG algebra $\hat{S}$ is concentrated in nonpositive degrees the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $P$ has the property (P) (and hence is h-projective). Thus $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(k, k)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(P, k)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{C}, k), k\right)=\mathcal{C}$. This proves a).
b) Since $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S} \text { op }}\left(k, B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)=\mathcal{C}$ the assertion follows from a).
c) follows from b).
d) follows from a) and c).

This proves the theorem.
Remark 4.5. Notice that for any augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ we have $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}{ }^{\text {op }}}\left(k, B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)=$ $\mathcal{C}$. Thus the $A_{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{C}}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}$ is a "homotopy $\hat{S}$-co-deformation" of $\mathcal{C}$. The
 co-deformation" of $\mathcal{C}$. (Of course we have only defined co-deformations along artinian $D G$ algebras.)
4.2. The functor $\nabla$. Now we define another functor $\nabla: D\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{C}} o p)$, which is closely related to $\Delta$.

Denote by $m$ the augmentation ideal of $\hat{S}$. For a DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $M$ denote $M_{n}:=$ $M / m^{n} M$ and

$$
\hat{M}=\lim _{\overleftarrow{n}} M_{n} .
$$

Fix a DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $N$. Choose a quasi-isomorphism $P \rightarrow N$ with an h-projective $P$. Define

$$
\nabla(N):=\lim _{\rightarrow} \Delta\left(P_{n}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(P_{n}, J\right) .
$$

Denote by $\operatorname{Perf}\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right) \subset D\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)$ the minimal full triangulated subcategory which contains the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $\hat{S}$ and is closed with respect to taking of direct summands.

Theorem 4.6. Assume that the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is admissible and finite dimensional. Then
a) The contravariant functor $\nabla: D\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(\overline{\mathcal{C}}^{o p}\right)$ is full and faithful on the subcategory $\operatorname{Perf}\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)$.
b) $\nabla(\hat{S})$ is isomorphic to $k$.

Proof. Denote by $m \subset \hat{S}^{o p}$ the maximal ideal and put $S_{n}:=\hat{S}^{o p} / m^{n} \hat{S}$ op. Since the $A_{\infty}$ algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is finite dimensional $S_{n}$ is also finite dimensional for all $n$. We need a few lemmas.

Lemma 4.7. Let $K$ be a $D G \hat{S^{o p}}$-module such that $\operatorname{dim}_{k} K<\infty$. Then the natural morphism of complexes

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(K, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(K, J)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.
Proof. Notice that since the algebra $\hat{S}$ is local, every element $x \in m$ acts on $K$ as a nilpotent operator. It follows that $m^{n} K=0$ for $n \gg 0$. For the same reason the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $K$ has a filtration with subquotients isomorphic to $k$. Thus we may prove the assertion by induction on $\operatorname{dim} K$. If $K=k$, then this is part b) of Proposition 4.4. Otherwise we can find a short exact sequence of DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-modules

$$
0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow K \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0
$$

such that $\operatorname{dim} M, \operatorname{dim} N<\operatorname{dim} K$.
Sublemma. The sequence of complexes

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(N, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}_{o p}}\left(K, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}_{o p}^{o p}}\left(M, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact.

Proof. We only need to prove the surjectivity of the map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(K, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(M, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

Let $n \gg 0$ be such that $m^{n} K=m^{n} M=0$. Let ${ }_{n}\left(B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \subset\left(B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)$ denote the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-submodule consisting of elements $x$ such that $m^{n} x=0$. Then ${ }_{n}\left(B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}\right)$ is a DG $S_{n}$-module and $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(K, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n}}\left(K,{ }_{n}\left(B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ and similarly for $M$.

Note that ${ }_{n}\left(B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)$ as a graded $S_{n}$-module is isomorphic to $S_{n}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, hence is a finite direct sum of shifted copies of the injective graded module $S_{n}^{*}$. Hence the above map of complexes is surjective.

Now we can prove the lemma.
Consider the commutative diagram of complexes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}\left(N, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}\left(K, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}\left(M, B \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow 0 \\
& \downarrow \alpha \quad \downarrow \beta \quad \downarrow \gamma \\
& 0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(N, J) \quad \rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(K, J) \quad \rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(M, J) \quad \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the bottom row is exact since $J^{g r}$ is an injective graded $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module (because $J$ satisfies property (I)). By the induction assumption $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ are quasi-isomorphisms. Hence also $\beta$ is such.

We are ready to prove the theorem.
It follows from Lemma 4.7 that $\nabla(\hat{S})$ is quasi-isomorphic to

$$
\lim _{\rightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}\left(S_{n}, B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}_{S_{n}}\left(S_{n},{ }_{n}\left(B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)\right)=\lim _{\rightarrow}\left(n\left(B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right)\right)=B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}
$$

This proves the second assertion. The first one follows from the next lemma.
Lemma 4.8. For any augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ the complex $\operatorname{Hom}_{\overline{\mathcal{C}}}{ }^{o p}(k, k)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $\hat{S}^{o p}$.

Proof. This follows straightforwardly from the definition of the DG category of $A_{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{o p}$ modules.

This proves the theorem.
4.3. The functor $\Psi$. Finally consider the covariant functor $\Psi: D(\hat{S}) \rightarrow D_{\infty}(\overline{\mathcal{C}} o p)$ defined by

$$
\Psi(M):=\left(B \overline{\mathcal{C}} \otimes_{\tau_{\mathcal{C}}} \mathcal{C}\right) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\hat{S}}}}{ } M
$$

Theorem 4.9. For any augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ the following holds.
a) The functor $\Psi$ is full and faithful on the subcategory $\operatorname{Perf}(\hat{S})$.
b) $\Psi(\hat{S})=k$.

Proof. b) is obvious and a) follows from Lemma 4.8 above.

## Part 2. Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functor for $A_{\infty}$-algebras

## 5. The definition

Let $A$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra, and $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Recall that $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$ is naturally a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra (see subsection 3.6). We define the set $M C(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ as the set of $\alpha \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{1}$ such that the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} m_{n}(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha)=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation is well defined since $\mathfrak{m} \subset \mathcal{R}$ is nilpotent ideal. Below for convenience we will write $\alpha^{n}$ instead of $\underbrace{\alpha, \ldots, \alpha}_{n}$.

There is a natural $A_{\infty}$-category $\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ with the set of objects $M C(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})$. Namely, for $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \in M C(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ we define

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M C} \mathcal{\infty}(A)}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right):=(A \otimes \mathcal{R})^{g r}
$$

as a graded vector space. Further, for $\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in M C(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})$ and for homogeneous $x_{1} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{n} \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(\alpha_{n-1}, \alpha_{n}\right)$ we define

$$
m_{n}^{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{n}, \ldots, x_{1}\right)=\sum_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n} \geq 0}(-1)^{\epsilon} m_{n+i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{i_{n}}, x_{n}, \alpha_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}^{i_{1}}, x_{1}, \alpha_{0}^{i_{0}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\epsilon=\sum_{n \geq k>j \geq 0}\left(\overline{x_{k}}+i_{k}\right) i_{j}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{i_{k}\left(i_{k}+1\right)}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} k i_{k} .
$$

One checks without difficulties that this indeed defines an $A_{\infty}$-category and that $\mathbf{1} \in(A \otimes$ $\mathcal{R})^{g r}=\operatorname{Hom}(\alpha, \alpha)$ is a strict identity for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. Below we will write $m_{n}^{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}}$ instead of $m_{n}^{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$

Remark 5.1. The Maurer-Cartan equation and the formulas for higher multiplications are the same as in the definition of the $A_{\infty}$-category of one-sided twisted complexes, see Ko. Note that in the case of one-sided twisted complexes all the solutions of Maurer-Cartan equation are automatically "nilpotent".

Now we define the Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functor $\mathcal{M C}(A)$ : dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$ as follows. Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathfrak{m}$ be as above. The objects of the groupoid $\mathcal{M \mathcal { C } _ { \mathcal { R } }}(A)$ are the same as the objects of $\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. For $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}(A)$, let $G(\alpha, \beta)$ be the set of elements $g \in \mathbf{1}+(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{0}$ such that

$$
m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(g)=\sum_{i_{0}, i_{1} \geq 0}(-1)^{i_{0} i_{1}+\frac{i_{0}\left(i_{0}+1\right)}{2}+\frac{i_{1}\left(i_{1}-1\right)}{2}} m_{1+i_{0}+i_{1}}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\beta^{i_{1}}, g, \alpha^{i_{0}}\right)=0 .
$$

Then we have an obvious action of the group $(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{-1}$ on the set $G(\alpha, \beta)$ :

$$
h: g \mapsto g+m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(h)=g+\sum_{i_{0}, i_{1} \geq 0}(-1)^{i_{0} i_{1}+\frac{i_{0}\left(i_{0}-1\right)}{2}+\frac{i_{1}\left(i_{1}-1\right)}{2}} m_{1+i_{0}+i_{1}}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\beta^{i_{1}}, g, \alpha^{i_{0}}\right) .
$$

We define $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\alpha, \beta)$ as the set of orbits $G(\alpha, \beta) /(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{-1}$. The composition of morphisms in $\mathcal{M \mathcal { C } _ { \mathcal { R } }}(A)$ is induced by $m_{2}^{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}}(A)$. It follows from the axioms of $A_{\infty}$-structures that we obtain a well defined category.

Proposition 5.2. The category $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is a groupoid.

Proof. Let $g \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\alpha, \beta)$. Prove that it has a left inverse $g^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\beta, \alpha)$.
Let $\tilde{g} \in G(\alpha, \beta)$ be a lift of $g$. First prove that there exists $\tilde{g}^{\prime} \in 1+(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{2}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}, \tilde{g}\right)=1 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n$ be the minimal positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n}=0$. The proof is by induction over $n$.
For $n=1$, there is nothing to prove.
Suppose that the induction hypothesis holds for $n=m \geq 1$. Prove it for $n=m+1$. From the induction hypothesis it follows that there exists $\tilde{g^{\prime}} \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\beta, \alpha)$ such that $m_{2}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}, \tilde{g}\right)=1+x$, where $x \in\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{n-1}\right)^{0}$. Then we obviously have

$$
m_{2}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}-x, \tilde{g}\right)=1
$$

Thus, the induction hypothesis is proved for $n=m+1$. The statement is proved.
Further, take $\tilde{g^{\prime}} \in 1+(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{0}$ such that (5.2) holds. To prove that $g$ has a left inverse it suffices to prove that

$$
m_{1}^{\beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right)=0 .
$$

From the equality (5.2), and since $m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(g)=0$, we obtain that

$$
m_{2}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha}\left(m_{1}^{\beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right), \tilde{g}\right)=0 .
$$

Suppose that $m_{1}^{\beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right) \neq 0$. Take the maximal positive integer $m$ such that $m_{1}^{\beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right) \in$ $\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{m}\right)^{0}$. Then we obviously obtain that $m_{2}^{\alpha, \beta, \alpha}\left(m_{1}^{\beta, \alpha}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right), \tilde{g}\right) \in\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{m}\right)^{0} \backslash\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{m+1}\right)^{0}$, this leads to contradiction.

Thus, $g$ has a left inverse. Analogously, it has a right inverse, hence $g$ is invertible. Therefore, the category $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is a groupoid.

Clearly, the assignment $\mathcal{R} \mapsto \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ defines a pseudo-functor from dgart to Gpd. We denote this pseudo-functor by $\mathcal{M C}(A)$ and call it Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functor.

Notice that if $A$ is a DG algebra, i.e. $m_{n}^{A}=0$ for $n \geq 3$, then $\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is a DG category. Further, for $\phi \in \operatorname{Hom}(\alpha, \beta)$ we have

$$
d^{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A)}(x)=d^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(x)+\beta x-(-1)^{\bar{x}} x \alpha,
$$

and the composition in $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A)$ is just the product in $A \otimes \mathcal{R}$. It follows that the constructed Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functor coincides in this case with that constructed in ELOI, Section 5.

Remark 5.3. The Maurer-Cartan groupoid $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ can be extended to a $\infty$-groupoid $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A)$ so that $\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)=\pi_{0}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A)\right)$. Further, the assignment $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A)$ defines a pseudo- functor $\mathcal{M C}{ }^{\infty}(A):$ dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}^{\infty}$, where $\mathbf{G p d}^{\infty}$ is a $\infty$-category of $\infty$ groupoids.

## 6. Obstruction theory

Fix a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$.
Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Further, let $n$ be the minimal positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n+1}=0$. Put $\mathcal{I}=\mathfrak{m}^{n}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}=\mathcal{R} / \mathcal{I}$, and $\pi: \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{R}}-$ the projection morphism. The next Proposition describes the obstruction theory for lifting of objects and morphisms along the functor

$$
\pi^{*}: \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)
$$

Proposition 6.1. 1). There exists a map $o_{2}: \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ such that $\alpha \in$ $\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)$ is in the image of $\pi^{*}$ if and only if $o_{2}(\alpha)=0$. Furthermore, if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)$ are isomorphic then $o_{2}(\alpha)=0$ iff $o_{2}(\beta)=0$.
2). Let $\xi \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\right)$ be such that the fiber $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\xi)$ is non-empty. Then there exists a simply transitive action of the group $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ on the set $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\xi)\right)$. Let $\xi_{1}, \xi_{2} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\right)$ be isomorphic objects such that both fibers $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right),\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$ are non-empty, and let $f: \xi_{1} \rightarrow \xi_{2}$ be a morphism. Take the action of $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ on $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right)$ as above and the action on $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right)$ which is inverse to the above action. Then there is a (non-canonical) $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$-equivariant map

$$
\widetilde{o_{1}}: \operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right) \times \operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right) \rightarrow Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})
$$

such that the composition of it with the projection

$$
Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})
$$

which we denote by $o_{1}^{f}$, is canonically defined and satisfies the following property: for $\alpha_{1} \in$ $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right)\right), \alpha_{2} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)\right)$ there exists a morphism $\gamma: \alpha_{1} \rightarrow \alpha_{2}$ such that $\pi^{*}(\gamma)=$ $f$ iff $o_{1}^{f}\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)=0$.
3) Let $\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta} \in \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ be objects and let $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ be a morphism from $\alpha=\pi^{*}(\tilde{\alpha})$ to $\beta=$ $\pi^{*}(\tilde{\beta})$. Suppose that the set $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f)$ of morphisms $\tilde{f}: \tilde{\alpha} \rightarrow \tilde{\beta}$ such that $\pi^{*}(\tilde{f})=f$ is nonempty. Then there is a simple transitive action of the group $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)$ on the set $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f)$. In particular, the difference map

$$
o_{0}:\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f) \times\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f) \rightarrow \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)
$$

satisfies the following property: if $\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^{\prime} \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f)$ then $\tilde{f}=\tilde{f}^{\prime}$ iff $o_{0}\left(\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^{\prime}\right)=0$.
Proof. 1). Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)$. Take some $\tilde{\alpha} \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{1}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{\alpha})=\alpha$. Then we have

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}) \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{2}
$$

A straightforward applying of (3.2) shows that

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha}) \in Z^{2}(A \otimes \mathcal{I}) .
$$

Further, if $\tilde{\alpha^{\prime}} \in A \otimes \mathfrak{m}$ is another lift of $\alpha$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\tilde{\alpha}^{\prime}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)-\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\alpha}, \ldots, \tilde{\alpha})=m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\tilde{\alpha^{\prime}}-\tilde{\alpha}\right) . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we obtain the well defined element $o_{2}(\alpha) \in H^{2}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ and therefore the map $o_{2}$ : $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)\right) \rightarrow H^{2}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$. The first property of $o_{2}$ is obviously satisfied.

Further, let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}(A)$, and $f: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ be a morphism. Suppose that $o_{2}(\alpha)=0$. Take some $\tilde{\alpha} \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\alpha)$. Further, take some $\tilde{f} \in 1+(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{0}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{f})$ represents $f$, and $\tilde{\beta} \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{1}$ such that $\pi(\tilde{\beta})=\beta$. We have that

$$
\sum_{i_{0}, i_{1} \geq 0}(-1)^{i_{0} i_{1}+\frac{i_{0}\left(i_{0}+1\right)}{2}+\frac{i_{1}\left(i_{1}+3\right)}{2}} m_{1+i_{0}+i_{1}}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\tilde{\beta}^{i_{1}}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\alpha}^{i_{0}}\right) \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{1}
$$

A straightforward applying of (3.2) shows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\sum_{i_{0}, i_{1} \geq 0}(-1)^{i_{0} i_{1}+\frac{i_{0}\left(i_{0}+1\right)}{2}+\frac{i_{1}\left(i_{1}+3\right)}{2}} m_{1+i_{0}+i_{1}}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\tilde{\beta}^{i_{1}}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{\alpha}^{i_{0}}\right)\right)= \\
& \quad=m_{2}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}\left(\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\beta}, \ldots, \tilde{\beta}), \tilde{f}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+1} m_{n}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\tilde{\beta}, \ldots, \tilde{\beta}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $o_{2}(\beta)=0$. This proves 1$)$.
2). Let $\eta \in Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$. It follows from (6.1) that the formula

$$
\eta: \alpha \mapsto \alpha+\eta
$$

defines a simply transitive action of the group $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ on the set $\operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\xi)\right)$. Let $\xi_{1}$, $\xi_{2}, f$ be as in Proposition. Take some $\tilde{f} \in 1+(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{0}$ such that $\overline{\pi(\tilde{f})}=f$. Define $\widetilde{o_{1}}$ by the formula

$$
\widetilde{o_{1}}(\alpha, \beta)=o_{1}^{\tilde{f}}(\alpha, \beta)=m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(\tilde{f}) .
$$

It is easy to see that the image of $o_{1}^{\tilde{f}}$ lies in $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ and that $o_{1}^{\tilde{f}}$ is $Z^{1}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$-equivariant. If $\tilde{f}^{\prime}$ is another lift of $f$, then there exists $h \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{-1}$ such that

$$
v=\tilde{f}^{\prime}-\tilde{f}-m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(h) \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{0} .
$$

Further,

$$
o_{1}^{\tilde{f^{\prime}}}(\alpha, \beta)-o_{1}^{\tilde{f}}(\alpha, \beta)=m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(v),
$$

hence the map $o_{1}^{f}$ is canonically defined.
Suppose that $o_{1}^{f}(\alpha, \beta)=0$ for some $\alpha \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \beta \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$. Let $\tilde{f}$ be as above. Then there exists $x \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{0}$ such that

$$
m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(\tilde{f})=m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(x)
$$

We have $\tilde{f}-x \in G(\alpha, \beta)$, and $\pi^{*}(\overline{\tilde{f}-x})=f$.

Conversely, suppose that there exists a morphism $\gamma \in \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\alpha, \beta)$ for some $\alpha \in$ $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{1}\right), \beta \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\xi_{2}\right)$, such that $\pi^{*}(\gamma)=f$. Let $\tilde{\gamma} \in G(\alpha, \beta)$ be a representative of $\gamma$. Then we have $o_{1}^{\tilde{\gamma}}(\alpha, \beta)=0$, hence $o_{1}^{f}(\alpha, \beta)=0$. This proves 2).
3). First we define the action of the group $Z^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$ on the set $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f)$ by the formula

$$
\eta: \overline{\tilde{f}} \rightarrow \overline{\tilde{f}+\eta},
$$

where $\eta \in Z^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$, and $\tilde{f} \in G(\alpha, \beta)$ is such that $\pi^{*}(\overline{\tilde{f}})=f$. Clearly, this is correct. Further, if $\eta=m_{1}^{A \otimes \mathcal{R}}(\zeta)$ for some $\zeta \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{-1}$, then

$$
\eta(\overline{\tilde{f}})=\overline{\tilde{f}+m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(\zeta)}=\overline{\tilde{f}}
$$

Hence, we have an action of $\operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I}) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right)$ on the set $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(f)$.
Tautologically, this action is simple.
Prove that it is transitive. Let $\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^{\prime} \in G(\alpha, \beta)$ be such that $\pi^{*}(\overline{\tilde{f}})=\pi^{*}(\overline{\tilde{f}})=f$. Then, by definition, there exists $h \in(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})^{-1}$ such that

$$
\tilde{f}^{\prime}-\tilde{f}-m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(h) \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{0} .
$$

Replacing $\tilde{f}$ by $\tilde{f}+m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}(h)$, we obtain $\tilde{f}^{\prime}=\tilde{f}+\eta$, where $\eta \in(A \otimes \mathcal{I})^{0}$. Since $\tilde{f}, \tilde{f}^{\prime} \in G(\alpha, \beta)$, we have that $\eta \in Z^{0}(A \otimes \mathcal{I})$. This shows transitivity and proves 3$)$.

Proposition is proved.
Remark 6.2. One can also construct the obstruction theory for lifting of objects and all $k$ morphisms along the $\infty$-functor

$$
\pi^{*}: \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\hat{\mathcal{R}}}^{\infty}(A)
$$

## 7. Invariance Theorems

Let $A_{1}, A_{2}$ be strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras and $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ morphism between them given by a sequence of maps

$$
f_{n}: A_{1}^{\otimes n} \rightarrow A_{2} .
$$

Further, let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$.
Then we have a (strictly unital) $A_{\infty}$-functor

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

defined by the formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)=\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} f_{n}(\alpha, \ldots, \alpha) \\
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\sum_{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{n} \geq 0}(-1)^{\epsilon} f_{n+i_{0}+\cdots+i_{n}}\left(\alpha_{n}^{i_{n}}, x_{n}, \alpha_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}, \ldots, \alpha_{1}^{i_{1}}, x_{1}, \alpha_{0}^{i_{0}}\right),
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\epsilon=\sum_{n \geq k>j \geq 0}\left(\overline{x_{k}}+i_{k}\right) i_{j}+\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{i_{k}\left(i_{k}-1\right)}{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} k i_{k} .
$$

One checks without difficulties that these formulas indeed define a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-functor.
It induces a functor $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)$ and we obtain a morphism of pseudofunctors

$$
f^{*}: \mathcal{M C}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}\left(A_{2}\right) .
$$

The following theorems show that for quasi-isomorphic strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-algebras the corresponding Maurer-Cartan $A_{\infty}$-categories (resp. Maurer-Cartan pseudo-functors) are quasiequivalent (resp. equivalent).

Theorem 7.1. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be a strictly unital quasi-isomorphism of strictly unital $A_{\infty}-$ algebras and let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian $D G$ algebra with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. Then the $A_{\infty}$-functor

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

is a quasi-equivalence.
Proof. 1). Prove that for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ the morphism of complexes

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha, \beta): \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)}(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)}\left(f^{*}(\alpha), f^{*}(\beta)\right)
$$

is quasi-isomorphism. Note that both complexes have finite filtrations by subcomplexes $A_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{i}$ and $A_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{m}^{i}$. The morphism $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ is compatible with these filtrations and induces quasiisomorphisms on the subquotients. Hence, it is quasi-isomorphism.
2). Now we prove that the functor

$$
\operatorname{Ho}\left(f^{*}\right): \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ho}\left(\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)\right)
$$

is an equivalence. We have already proved that it is full faithful, hence it remains to prove that it is essentially surjective. We will prove the stronger statement: the functor

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

is essentially surjective.
Let $n$ be the minimal positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n}=0$. The proof is by induction over $n$.
For $n=1$, there is nothing to prove.
Suppose that the induction hypothesis holds for $n=m$. Prove it for $n=m+1$. Let $\mathcal{I}$, $\overline{\mathcal{R}}, \overline{\mathfrak{m}}, \pi$ be as above. A straightforward checking shows that the following diagram commutes:

where the map $o_{2}$ is defined in Prpoosition 6.1,
Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ such that $f_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}^{*}(\beta)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^{*}(\alpha)$ in $\mathcal{M C}_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}}\left(A_{2}\right)$. Since the diagram (7.1) commutes, we have that $o_{2}(\beta)=0$. Thus, by Proposition 6.1, the fiber $\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\beta)$ is nonempty. Fix some $\tilde{\beta} \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\beta)$. Let $\gamma: f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(\alpha)$ be a morphism. A straightforward checking shows that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\beta)\right) \stackrel{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}}{ } \operatorname{Ob}\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta)\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow_{o_{1}}^{i d_{\beta}(*, \tilde{\beta})}  \tag{7.2}\\
& H^{1}\left(A_{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\
&{ }^{\gamma}{ }^{\gamma}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(*), \alpha\right)-o_{1}^{\gamma}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\tilde{\beta}), \alpha\right) \\
& H^{1}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

where the vertical arrows are defined in Proposition 6.1. Since the map $o_{1}^{i d_{\beta}}(*, \tilde{\beta})$ is surjective and the diagram (7.2) commutes, there exists an object $\tilde{\beta}^{\prime} \in O b\left(\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(\beta)\right)$ such that $o_{1}^{\gamma}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\tilde{\beta}^{\prime}\right), \alpha\right)=0$. Then, by Proposition 6.1, there exists a morphism $\tilde{\gamma}: f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\tilde{\beta}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \alpha$ (such that $\left.\pi^{*}(\tilde{\gamma})=\gamma\right)$. Therefore, the functor $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}$ is essentially surjective, and the induction hypothesis is proved for $n=m+1$. The statement is proved.

Theorem is proved.
Theorem 7.2. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be a strictly unital quasi-isomorphism of strictly unital $A_{\infty}-$ algebras. Then the morphism of pseudo-functors

$$
f^{*}: \mathcal{M C}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

is an equivalence.
Proof. Fix an artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R}$ with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$. We must prove that the functor

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M C} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{2}\right)
$$

is an equivalence.
In the proof of the previous Theorem we have already shown that it is essentially surjective. So it remains to prove that it is full and faithful.

Let $n$ be the minimal positive integer such that $\mathfrak{m}^{n}=0$. The proof is by induction over $n$.
For $n=1$, there is nothing to prove.
Suppose that the induction hypothesis holds for $n=m \geq 1$. Prove it for $n=m+1$.
Full. Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and let $\gamma: f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha) \rightarrow f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta)$ be a morphism. By induction hypothesis, there exists a morphism $g: \pi^{*}(\alpha) \rightarrow \pi^{*}(\beta)$ such that

$$
f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(g)=\pi^{*}(\gamma) .
$$

A straightforward checking shows that the following diagram commutes

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}(\alpha)\right) \times\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}(\beta)\right) & \longrightarrow\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)\right)\right) \times\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta)\right)\right) \\
\downarrow_{1}^{g} & & \downarrow_{1}^{o_{1}^{*}(\gamma)}  \tag{7.3}\\
H^{1}\left(A_{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) & \sim & H^{1}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

By Proposition 6.1 and since the diagram (7.3) commutes there exists a morphism $\tilde{g}: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ such that $\pi^{*}(\tilde{g})=g$. Further, a straightforward checking shows that the following diagram commutes:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(g) \quad \xrightarrow{o_{0}(*, \tilde{g})} \quad \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}\left(A_{1} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A_{1} \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right) \\
& \downarrow_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}} \sim \downarrow  \tag{7.4}\\
& \left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}(\gamma)\right) \xrightarrow{o_{0}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(*), \gamma\right)-o_{0}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\tilde{g}), \gamma\right)} \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha), f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta)}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Since the upper arrow is surjective, there exists a morphism $\tilde{g^{\prime}} \in\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}(g)$ such that

$$
o_{0}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right), \gamma\right)=0
$$

i.e. $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\tilde{g^{\prime}}\right)=\gamma$. Hence, the functor $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}$ is full.

Faithful. Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}: \alpha \rightarrow \beta$ be two morphisms in $\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)$. Suppose that $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$. Then we have also $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\pi^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)=f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\pi^{*}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)\right)$, hence by induction hypothesis $\pi^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)=\pi^{*}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$. A straightforward checking shows that the following diagram commutes:

$\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)\right) \times\left(\pi^{*}\right)^{-1}\left(\pi^{*}\left(f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\right)\right) \xrightarrow{o_{0}} \operatorname{Im}\left(H^{0}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathcal{I}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(A_{2} \otimes \mathfrak{m}, m_{1}^{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha), f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\beta)}\right)\right)$.
By Proposition 6.1 and since the diagram (7.5) commutes we have that

$$
o_{0}\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)=0
$$

hence $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{2}$. Thus, the functor $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}$ is full.
The induction hypothesis is proved for $n=m+1$. The statement is proved.
Theorem is proved.
Remark 7.3. It can be proved that an $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ induces an equivalence of $\infty$-groupoids $f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}: \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}\left(A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\infty}\left(A_{2}\right)$.

## 8. TWISTING COCHAINS

Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a co-augmented DG coalgebra. Let $A$ be an arbitrary $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Then the graded vector space $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{G}, A)$ has natural structure of an $A_{\infty}$-algebra. If $\operatorname{dim} \mathcal{G}<\infty$ or $\operatorname{dim} A<\infty$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{G}, A)$ is canonically identified with $A \otimes \mathcal{G}^{*}$ as an $A_{\infty}$-algebra.

Suppose that the DG coalgebra $\mathcal{G}$ is co-complete. The map $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A$ of degree 1 is called a twisting cochain if it passes through $\overline{\mathcal{G}}$ and satisfies the generalized Maurer-Cartan equation (5.1) as an element of $A_{\infty}$-algebra $\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{G}, A)$. This is well defined since $\mathcal{G}$ is co-complete. If $\mathcal{R}$ is an artinian DG algebra and $A$ is strictly unital, then we have natural bijection between the set of twisting cochains $\tau: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow A$ and the set $M C(A \otimes \mathfrak{m})$. In the case when $A$ is augmented, the twisting cochain is called admissible if it passes through $\bar{A}$. Tautologically, admissible twisting cochains $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow A$ are in one-to-one correspondence with twisting cochains $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \bar{A}$.

Proposition 8.1. Let $A$ be an $A_{\infty}$-algebra The composition $\tau_{A}: B A \rightarrow A$ of the natural projection $B A \rightarrow A[1]$ with the shift map $A[1] \rightarrow A$ is the universal twisting cochain. That is, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a co-augmented co-complete $D G$ coalgebra and $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A$ is a twisting cochain then there exists a unique homomorphism $g_{\tau}: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow B A$ of co-augmented $D G$ coalgebras, such that $\tau_{A} \cdot g_{\tau}=\tau$.

It follows that if $A$ is augmented then the composition of $\tau_{\bar{A}}$ with the embedding $\bar{A} \hookrightarrow A$, which we also denote by $\tau_{A}$, is the universal admissible twisting cochain in the same sense.

Proof. A straightforward checking.
Further, if $\mathcal{G}$ is a co-augmented co-complete DG coalgebra, and $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A$ is a twisting cochain then

$$
\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\tau} A:=\mathcal{G} \square_{B A}\left(B A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A\right)
$$

is an object of $A_{\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$.
Proposition 8.2. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be an $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism of $A_{\infty}$-algebras, $\mathcal{G}$ be a co-augmented co-complete $D G$ coalgebra, and $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A_{1}$ be a twisting cochain. Then there is a natural homotopy equivalence in $A_{1\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ :

$$
\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\tau} A_{1} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f \cdot \tau} A_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. We have a natural homotopy equivalence of DG bicomodules over $B A_{1}$ :

$$
B A_{1} \otimes A_{1}[1] \otimes B A_{1} \rightarrow B A_{1} \square_{B A_{2}}\left(B A_{2} \otimes A_{2}[1] \otimes B A_{2}\right) \square_{B A_{2}} B A_{1}
$$

Co-tensoring it on the left by $\mathcal{G}$, we obtain the required homotopy equivalence.
Now let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. If $\mathcal{G}$ is a co-augmented co-complete DG coalgebra and $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A$ is an admissible twisting cochain then

$$
\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\tau} A:=\mathcal{G} \square_{B \bar{A}}\left(B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A\right)
$$

is an object of $\bar{A}_{\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$.
Proposition 8.3. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be an $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism of augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebras, $\mathcal{G}$ be a co-augmented co-complete $D G$ coalgebra and $\tau: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow A_{1}$ be an admissible twisting cochain. Then there is a natural homotopy equivalence in $\bar{A}_{1\left(\mathcal{G}^{*}\right)^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ :

$$
\mathcal{G} \otimes_{\tau} A_{1} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{G} \otimes_{f \cdot \tau} A_{2}\right)
$$

Proof. We have a natural homotopy equivalence of DG bicomodules over $B \bar{A}_{1}$ :

$$
B \bar{A}_{1} \otimes A_{1}[1] \otimes B \bar{A}_{1} \rightarrow B \bar{A}_{1} \square_{B \bar{A}_{2}}\left(B \bar{A}_{2} \otimes A_{2}[1] \otimes B \bar{A}_{2}\right) \square_{B \bar{A}_{2}} B \bar{A}_{1} .
$$

Co-tensoring it on the left by $\mathcal{G}$, we obtain the required homotopy equivalence.
Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra, and $\tau: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow A$ be an admissible twisting cochain. Then by Proposition 8.1 we have a natural morphism of DG coalgebras $g_{\tau}: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow B \bar{A}$. Further, we have the dual morphism of DG algebras $g_{\tau}^{*}: \hat{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. In particular, $\mathcal{R}$ becomes a DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module.

Lemma 8.4. In the above notation $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\tilde{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-modules $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S} o p}\left(\mathcal{R}, B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A\right)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{*} \otimes_{\tau} A$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Evident.
If $\tau: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow A$ is an admissible twisting cochain and $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is the corresponding object, then we will write also $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}^{*} \otimes_{\tau} A$.

Further, for $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ corresponding to an admissible twisting cochain we put

$$
A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{*}, A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

This is an object of $\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$. Its $\left(\mathcal{R}^{o p}\right)^{g r}$-module structure is obvious and $A_{\infty}$-module structure can also be given by the explicit formulas:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}}\left(m, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)=m_{n}^{0, \ldots, 0, \alpha}\left(m, a_{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{R}}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{R}}\right) \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 8.5. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be an $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism of augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebras, $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian $D G$ algebra and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)$. Then there is a natural homotopy equivalence in $\bar{A}_{1}{ }^{o p}{ }^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ :

$$
A_{1} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(A_{2} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}\right)
$$

Proof. The required homotopy equivalence is obtained by applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{*},-\right)$ to the homotopy equivalence

$$
A_{1} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(A_{2} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

from Proposition 8.3.

Note that if $A$ is a DG algebra, then $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ and $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}$ are the DG modules from $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(A)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(A)$ respectively, which correspond to $\alpha$.

Finally, if $A$ is a strictly unital but not necessarily augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra, $\mathcal{R}$ is an artinian DG algebra, $\alpha$ is an object of $\mathcal{M \mathcal { C } _ { \mathcal { R } }}(A)$ and $\tau: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow A$ is the corresponding twisting cochain then we also write $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}^{*} \otimes_{\tau} A$. Further, we put

$$
A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{*}, A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

 $A_{\infty}$-module structure is given by the formulas 8.1. The following Proposition is absolutely analogous to the previous one and we omit the proof.

Proposition 8.6. Let $f: A_{1} \rightarrow A_{2}$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-morphism of strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ algebras, $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian $D G$ algebra and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(A_{1}\right)$. Then there is a natural homotopy equivalence in $A_{1 R^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ :

$$
A_{1} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(A_{2} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}\right)
$$

## Part 3. The pseudo-functors DEF and coDEF

9. The bicategory 2-adgalg and deformation pseudo-functor coDEF

Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a bicategory and $F, G: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$ two pseudo-functors. A morphism $\epsilon: F \rightarrow G$ is called an equivalence if for each $X \in O b \mathcal{E}$ the functor $\epsilon_{X}: F(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ is an equivalence of categories.

Definition 9.1. We define the bicategory 2 -adgalg of augmented $D G$ algebras as follows. The objects are augmented $D G$ algebras. For $D G$ algebras $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ the collection of 1-morphisms $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ consists of pairs $(M, \theta)$, where

- $M \in D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$ is such that there exists an isomorphism (in $D(\mathcal{C})$ ) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \nu_{*} M$ (where $\nu_{*}: D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{C})$ is the functor of restriction of scalars corresponding to the natural homomorphism $\left.\nu: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$;
- and $\theta: k \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}} M \rightarrow k$ is an isomorphism in $D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p}\right)$.

The composition of 1-morphisms

$$
1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \times 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})
$$

is defined by the tensor product $\cdot \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}}$. Given 1-morphisms $\left(M_{1}, \theta_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, \theta_{2}\right) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ a 2-morphism $f:\left(M_{1}, \theta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism (in $D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$ ) $f: M_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$ (not from $M_{1}$ to $M_{2}$ !) such that $\theta_{1} \cdot k \stackrel{\mathbf{~}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}}(f)=\theta_{2}$. So in particular the category 1- $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is a groupoid. Denote by 2-dgart the full subbicategory of 2 -adgalg consisting of artinian $D G$ algebras. Similarly we define the full subbicategories 2 -dgart ${ }_{+}$, 2-dgart_, 2-art, 2-cart (I, Definition 2.3).

Remark 9.2. Assume that augmented $D G$ algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are such that $\mathcal{B}^{i}=\mathcal{C}^{i}=0$ for $i>0, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{B}^{i}, \operatorname{dim} \mathcal{C}^{i}<\infty$ for all $i$ and $\operatorname{dim} H(\mathcal{C})<\infty$. Denote by $\langle k\rangle \subset D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)$ the triangulated envelope of the $D G \mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}$-module $k$. Let $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. Then by $I$, Corollary 3.22 $M \in\langle k\rangle$.

For any augmented DG algebra $\mathcal{B}$ we obtain a pseudo-functor $h_{\mathcal{B}}$ between the bicategories 2-adgalg and $\mathbf{G p d}$ defined by $h_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{C})=1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$.

Note that a usual homomorphism of augmented DG algebras $\gamma: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines the structure of a DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module on $\mathcal{C}$ with the canonical isomorphism of DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-modules id : $k \stackrel{\mathrm{Q}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}} \rightarrow$ $k$. Thus it defines a 1 -morphism $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id}) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. This way we get a pseudo-functor $\mathcal{F}$ : adgalg $\rightarrow 2$ - adgalg, which is the identity on objects.

Lemma 9.3. Assume that augmented $D G$ algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are concentrated in degree zero (hence have zero differential). Also assume that these algebras are local (with maximal ideals being the augmentation ideals). Then
a) the map $\mathcal{F}: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$ is surjective, i.e. every 1-morphism from $\mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)$ for a homomorphism of algebras $\gamma$;
b) the 1-morphisms $\mathcal{F}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if $\gamma_{2}$ is the composition of $\gamma_{1}$ with the conjugation by an invertible element in $\mathcal{C}$;
c) in particular, if $\mathcal{C}$ is commutative then the map of sets $\mathcal{F}: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \pi_{0}(1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}))$ is a bijection.

Proof. a) For any $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ the $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}$-module $M$ is isomorphic (in $D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes\right.$ $\mathcal{C}$ ) to $H^{0}(M)$. Thus we may assume that $M$ is concentrated in degree 0 . By assumption there exists an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$-modules $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$. Multiplying this isomorphism by a scalar we may assume that it is compatible with the isomorphisms id : $k \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}} \rightarrow k$ and $\theta: k \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}} M \rightarrow k$. A choice of such an isomorphism defines a homomorphism of algebras $\mathcal{B}^{o p} \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}^{o p}$. Since $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are local this is a homomorphism of augmented algebras. Thus $(M, \theta)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}(\gamma)$.
b) Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be homomorphisms of algebras. A 2-morphism $f: \mathcal{F}\left(\gamma_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\left(\gamma_{2}\right)$ is simply an isomorphism of the corresponding $\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}$-modules $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, which commutes with the augmentation. Being an isomorphism of $\mathcal{C}$-modules it is the right multiplication by an invertible element $c \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence for every $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $c^{-1} \gamma_{1}(b) c=\gamma_{2}(b)$.
c) This follows from a) and b).

Remark 9.4. If in the definition of 1 -morphisms $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ we do not fix an isomorphism $\theta$, then we obtain a special case of a "quasi-functor" between the $D G$ categories $\mathcal{B}$-mod and $\mathcal{C}$-mod. This notion was first introduced by Keller in [Ke] for $D G$ modules over general $D G$ categories.

The next proposition asserts that the deformation functor coDef has a natural "lift" to the bicategory 2-dgart.

Proposition 9.5. There exist a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(E)$ from 2 -dgart to Gpd which is an extension to 2-dgart of the pseudo-functor coDef i.e. there is an equivalence of pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDef}(E) \simeq \operatorname{coDEF}(E) \cdot \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Given artinian DG algebras $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q}$ and $M=(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ we need to define the corresponding functor

$$
M^{!}: \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) .
$$

Let $S=(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(E)$. Put

$$
M^{!}(S):=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S) \in D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}\right) .
$$

We claim that $M^{!}(S)$ defines an object in $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$, i.e. $\mathcal{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}\left(k, M^{!}(S)\right)$ is naturally isomorphic to $E$ (by the isomorphisms $\theta$ and $\sigma$ ).

Indeed, choose quasi-isomorphisms $P \rightarrow k$ and $S \rightarrow I$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}\right)$ and $I \in \mathcal{I}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right)$. Then

$$
\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}\left(k, M^{!}(S)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}\left(P, \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, I)\right) .
$$

By I, Lemma 3.17 the last term is equal to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(P \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} M, I\right)$. Now the isomorphism $\theta$ defines an isomorphism between $P \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} M=k \stackrel{\stackrel{L}{\otimes}}{\mathcal{Q}}$ $M$ and $k$, and we compose it with the isomorphism $\sigma: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(k, I)=i^{!} S$.

So $M^{!}$is a functor from $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(E)$ to $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$.
Given another artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$
\left(M^{\prime} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathcal{Q}}\right)^{!}(-) \simeq M^{\prime!} \cdot M^{!}(-)
$$

(This follows again from I, Lemma 3.17).
Also a 2-morphism $f \in 2$ - $\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, M_{1}\right)$ between objects $M, M_{1} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ induces an isomorphism of the corresponding functors $M^{!} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{1}^{!}$.

Thus we obtain a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(E): 2$ - dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$, such that $\operatorname{coDEF}(E) \cdot \mathcal{F}=$ $\operatorname{coDef}(E)$.

We denote by $\operatorname{coDEF}_{+}(E), \operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E), \operatorname{coDEF}_{0}(E), \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathrm{cl}}(E)$ the restriction of the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(E)$ to subbicategories 2 - dgart ${ }_{+}, 2$-dgart_ , 2-art and 2 -cart respectively.

Proposition 9.6. A quasi-isomorphism $\delta: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ of $D G \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-modules induces an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\delta^{*}: \operatorname{coDEF}\left(E_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}\left(E_{1}\right)
$$

defined by $\delta^{*}(S, \sigma)=(S, \sigma \cdot \delta)$.

Proof. This is clear.
Proposition 9.7. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a $D G$ functor which induces a quasi-equivalence $F^{\text {pre-tr }}$ : $\mathcal{A}^{\text {pre-tr }} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime \text { pre-tr }}$ (this happens for example if $F$ is a quasi-equivalence). Then for any $E \in$ $D\left(\mathcal{A}^{o p}\right)$ the pseudo-functors coDEF-$(E)$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}\left(\mathbf{R} F^{!}(E)\right)$ are equivalent (hence also $\operatorname{coDEF}\left(F_{*}\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent for any $\left.E^{\prime} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime 0}\right)\right)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of I, Proposition 10.11. Namely let $R, Q \in 2$ - dgart_ and $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(R, Q)$. The DG functor $F^{!}$induces a commutative functorial diagram

| $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right)$ | $\xrightarrow{\mathbf{R}(F \otimes \mathrm{id})}{ }^{!}$ | $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime 0}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{R} i^{!} \downarrow$ |  | $\downarrow \mathbf{R} i^{!}$ |
| $D\left(\mathcal{A}^{o p}\right)$ | $\xrightarrow{\mathbf{R} F^{!}}$ | $D\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime 0}\right)$ |

(and a similar diagram for $\mathcal{Q}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}$ ) which is compatible with the functors

$$
M^{!}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad M^{!}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime 0}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\prime 0}\right)
$$

Thus we obtain a morphism of pseudo-functors

$$
F^{!}: \operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}_{-}\left(\mathbf{R} F^{!}(E)\right) .
$$

By I, Corollary 3.15 the functors $\mathbf{R} F^{!}$and $\mathbf{R}(F \otimes \mathrm{id})^{!}$are equivalences.
Corollary 9.8. Assume that DG algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are quasi-isomorphic. Then the pseudofunctors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent.

Proof. We may assume that there exists a homomorphism of DG algebras $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which is a quasi-isomorphism. Then put $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}$ in the last proposition.

The following Lemma is stronger then I, Corollary 11.15 for the pseudo-functors coDef_ and $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{h}$.

Lemma 9.9. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $D G$ algebra. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
a) $H^{-1}(\mathcal{B})=0$;
b) the graded algebra $H(\mathcal{B})$ is bounded below.

Then the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.
Proof. Fix some negative artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_. Take some $(T, i d) \in \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$. Due to I, Corollary 11.4 b ) it suffices to prove that $i^{!} T=\mathbf{R} i^{\prime} T$ Let $A$ be a strictly unital minimal model of $\mathcal{B}$, and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ quasi-isomorphism. By our assumption on $H(\mathcal{B}), A$ is bounded below.

By Theorem 7.2 there exists an object $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ such that $S \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{*}$. The DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-modules $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ and $f_{*}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)$ are naturally identified. Further, by Proposition 8.6 we have natural homotopy equivalence (in $A_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}-}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ )

$$
\gamma: A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

Thus, it remains to prove that

$$
i^{!}\left(A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)=\mathbf{R} i^{!}\left(A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

We claim that $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ is h-injective. Indeed, since $A$ is bounded below and $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_, this DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module has a decreasing filtration by DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-submodules $A^{\geq i} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{*}$ with subquotients being cofree DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-modules $A^{i} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{*}$. Thus $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}^{*}$ satisfies property (I) as DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module and hence is h-injective. Lemma is proved.

The next result implies stronger statement for pseudo-functor coDef_ then I, Proposition 11.16.

Proposition 9.10. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod. Assume that
a) $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1}(E, E)=0$;
b) the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is bounded below;
b) there exists a bounded below h-projective or h-injective $D G \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-module $F$ which is quasiisomorphic to $E$.

Put $\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{End}(F)$. Then the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E)$ are equivalent.
Proof. Consider the DG functor

$$
\mathcal{L}:=\Sigma^{F} \cdot \psi^{*}: \mathcal{B}^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{o p}-\bmod , \quad \mathcal{L}(N)=N \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} F
$$

as in I, Remark 11.17. It induces the equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDef}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{L}): \operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(F)
$$

i.e. for every artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_ the corresponding DG functor

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}:(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}-\bmod
$$

induces the equivalence of groupoids $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(F)$ (I, Propositions 9.2, 9.4). By I, Theorem 11.6 b ) there is a natural equivalences of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(F) \simeq \operatorname{coDef}_{-}(E)
$$

By Lemma 9.9 there is an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{B}) \simeq \operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{B})
$$

Hence the functor $\mathbf{L} \mathcal{L}$ induces the equivalence

$$
\mathbf{L} \mathcal{L}: \operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{B}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \operatorname{coDef}_{-}(E) .
$$

Fix $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q} \in 2$-dgart_ and $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. We need to show that there exists a natural isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{L} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot M^{!} \simeq M^{!} \cdot \mathbf{L} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}
$$

between functors from $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B})$ to $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$.

Since the cohomology of $M$ is finite dimensional, and the DG algebra $\mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ has no components in positive degrees, by I, Corollary 3.21 we may assume that $M$ is finite dimensional.

Lemma 9.11. Let ( $S$, id) be an object in $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{B})$ or in $\operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(F)$. Then $S$ is acyclic for the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S)$, i.e. $\quad M^{!}(S)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S)$.

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 9.9 (resp. in I, Lemma 11.8) we showed that $S$ is h-injective when considered as a DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module.

Choose $(S, i d) \in \operatorname{coDef}^{\text {h }}(\mathcal{B})$. By the above lemma $M^{!}(S)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}$ op $(M, S)$.
We claim that the DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S)$ is h-projective. Indeed, first notice that the graded $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module $S$ is injective being isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of shifted graded $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module $\mathcal{R}^{*}$ (the abelian category of graded $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-modules is locally notherian, hence a direct sum of injectives is injective). Second, the DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module $M$ has a (finite) filtration with subquotients isomorphic to $k$. Thus the DG $\mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S)$ has a filtration with subquotients isomorphic to $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(k, S)=i^{!} S \simeq \mathcal{B}$. So it has property (P).

Hence $\mathbf{L} \mathcal{L} \cdot M^{!}(S)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F$. For the same reasons $M^{!} \cdot \mathbf{L} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R}}(S)=$ $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F\right)$. The isomorphism

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, S \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F\right)
$$

follows from the fact that $S$ as a graded module is a tensor product of graded $\mathcal{C}^{o p}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$ modules and also because $\operatorname{dim}_{k} M<\infty$.

## 10. Deformation pseudo-functor coDEF for an augmented $A_{\infty}$-Algebra

Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. We are going to define the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(A)$ : 2-dgart $\rightarrow$ Gpd .

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra. An object of the groupoid $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is a pair $(S, \sigma)$, where $S \in D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)$, and $\sigma$ is an isomorphism (in $D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}^{o p}\right)$ )

$$
\sigma: A \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \dot{l}^{!}(S) .
$$

A morphism $f:(S, \sigma) \rightarrow(T, \tau)$ in $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is an isomorphism (in $\left.D\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)\right) f: S \rightarrow T$ such that

$$
\mathbf{R} i^{!}(f) \circ \sigma=\tau .
$$

This defines the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(A)$ on objects. Further, let $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. Define the corresponding functor

$$
M^{!}: \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A)
$$

as follows. For an object $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ put

$$
M^{!}(S)=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(M, S) \in D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)
$$

Then we have natural isomorphisms in $D_{\infty}(\bar{A})$ :

$$
\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}\left(k, M^{!}(S)\right) \cong \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}} M, S\right) \cong \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(k, S)=\mathbf{R} i^{!}(S)
$$

(the second isomorphism is induced by $\theta$ ). Thus, $M^{!}$is a functor form $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ to $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A)$.

If $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ is another artinian DG algebra and $\left(M^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$
\left(M^{\prime} \otimes \underset{\mathcal{Q}}{\mathbf{L}} M\right)^{!} \cong M^{\prime!} \cdot M^{!}
$$

Further, if $f \in 2-\operatorname{Hom}\left((M, \theta),\left(M, \theta_{1}\right)\right)$ is a 2 -morphism between objects $(M, \theta),\left(M, \theta_{1}\right) \in$ $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ then it induces an isomorphism between the corresponding functors $M^{!} \simeq M_{1}^{!}$.

Thus we obtain a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(A): 2$-dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$. We denote by $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(A)$ its restriction to the sub- 2 -category 2 -dgart_ .

Proposition 10.1. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra and $U(A)$ its bar-cobar construction. Then there is a natural equivalence of pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}(U(A)) \cong \operatorname{coDEF}(A)$.

Proof. Let $f_{A}: A \rightarrow U(A)$ be the universal strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-morphism. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra. Recall that by Proposition 3.14 we have an equivalence

$$
f_{A *}: D\left((U(A) \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right) .
$$

Moreover, the following diagram of functors commutes up to an isomorphism:


Hence, the functor $f_{A *}$ induces an equivalence of groupoids $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(U(A)) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ and we obtain the required equivalence of pseudo-functors.

Corollary 10.2. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $D G$ algebra quasiisomorphic to $A$. Then the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}(A)$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 10.1 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}(A)$ and $\operatorname{DEF}(U(A))$ are equivalent, and by Corollary 9.8 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}(U(A))$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.

Corollary 10.3. Let $A$ be an admissible $A_{\infty}$-algebra, and $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian negative $D G$ algebra. Then for any $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ there exists a morphism of $D G$ algebras $\hat{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that the pair $(T, i d)$, where $T=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}{ }^{o p}}\left(\mathcal{R}, B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A\right)$, defines an object of $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ which is isomorphic to $(S, \sigma)$.

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition [10.1, the proof of Lemma 9.9 in the case $\mathcal{B}=U(A)$, and Lemma 8.4.
11. The bicategory $2^{\prime}$ - adgalg and DEformation pseudo-Functor DEF

It turns out that the deformation pseudo-functor Def lifts naturally to a different version of a bicategory of augmented DG algebras. We denote this bicategory $2^{\prime}$ - adgalg. It differs from 2- adgalg in two respects: the 1-morphisms are objects in $D\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)\left(\right.$ instead of $\left.D\left(\mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ and 2 -morphisms go in the opposite direction. We will relate the bicategories 2 - adgalg and $2^{\prime}$ - adgalg (and the pseudo-functors coDEF and DEF ) in section 13 below.

Definition 11.1. We define the bicategory $2^{\prime}$-adgalg of augmented $D G$ algebras as follows. The objects are augmented $D G$ algebras. For $D G$ algebras $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ the collection of 1-morphisms $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ consists of pairs $(M, \theta)$, where

- $M \in D\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)$ and there exists an isomorphism (in $D\left(\mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)$ ) $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \nu_{*} M$ (where $\nu_{*}: D\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)$ is the functor of restriction of scalars corresponding to the natural homomorphism $\left.\nu: \mathcal{C}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)$;
- and $\theta: M \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}} k \rightarrow k$ is an isomorphism in $D(\mathcal{B})$.

The composition of 1-morphisms

$$
1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \times 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{D})
$$

is defined by the tensor product $\cdot \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}} \cdot$ Given 1 -morphisms $\left(M_{1}, \theta_{1}\right),\left(M_{2}, \theta_{2}\right) \in 1$ - $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ a 2-morphism $f:\left(M_{1}, \theta_{1}\right) \rightarrow\left(M_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)$ is an isomorphism (in $D\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}\right)$ ) $f: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}$ such that $\theta_{1}=\theta_{2} \cdot\left((f) \stackrel{\mathbf{\otimes}}{\left.\otimes_{\mathcal{C}} k\right)}\right.$. So in particular the category $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is a groupoid. Denote by $2^{\prime}$-dgart the full subbicategory of $2^{\prime}$-adgalg consisting of artinian $D G$ algebras. Similarly we define the full subbicategories $2^{\prime}$ - $_{\text {dgart }}^{+}, ~, ~ 2^{\prime}$-dgart_ $_{-}, 2^{\prime}$-art , $2^{\prime}$-cart (I, Definition 2.3).

Remark 11.2. The exact analogue of Remark 9.2 holds for the bicategory $2^{\prime}$-adgalg .
For any augmented DG algebra $\mathcal{B}$ we obtain a pseudo-functor $h_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}$ between the bicategories $2^{\prime}$ - adgalg and $\mathbf{G p d}$ defined by $h_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime}(\mathcal{C})=1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$.

Note that a usual homomorphism of DG algebras $\gamma: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines the structure of a $\mathcal{B}$-module on $\mathcal{C}$ with the canonical isomorphism of $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{B}$-modules $\mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}}^{\mathbf{L}} k$. Thus it defines a 1morphism $(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{id}) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. This way we get a pseudo-functor $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}:$ adgalg $\rightarrow 2^{\prime}$-adgalg, which is the identity on objects.

Remark 11.3. The precise analogue of Lemma 9.3 holds for the bicategory $2^{\prime}$-adgalg and the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.

Proposition 11.4. There exist a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(E)$ from $2^{\prime}$ - dgart to Gpd and which is an extension to $2^{\prime}$-dgart of the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{Def}(E)$, i.e. there is an equivalence of pseudofunctors $\operatorname{Def}(E) \simeq \operatorname{DEF}(E) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q}$ be artinian DG algebras. Given $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ we define the corresponding functor

$$
M^{*}: \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)
$$

as follows

$$
M^{*}(S):=S \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} M
$$

for $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(E)$. Then we have the canonical isomorphism

$$
M^{*}(S) \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}} k=S \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}}\left(M \stackrel{\left.\stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}} k\right) \xrightarrow{\theta} S \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} k \xrightarrow{\sigma} E . . .}{ } .\right.
$$

So that $M^{*}(S) \in \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)$ indeed.
Given another artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(Q, Q^{\prime}\right)$ there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$
M^{\prime *} \cdot M^{*}=\left(M \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}} M^{\prime}\right)^{*}
$$

Also a 2 -morphism $f \in 2-\operatorname{Hom}\left(M, M_{1}\right)$ between $M, M_{1} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ induces an isomorphism of corresponding functors $M^{*} \xrightarrow{\sim} M_{1}^{*}$.

Thus we obtain a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(E): 2^{\prime}$ - dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}$, such that $\operatorname{DEF}(E) \cdot \mathcal{F}^{\prime}=$ $\operatorname{Def}(E)$.

We denote by $\mathrm{DEF}_{+}(E), \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E), \mathrm{DEF}_{0}(E), \mathrm{DEF}_{\mathrm{cl}}(E)$ the restriction of the pseudofunctor $\operatorname{DEF}(E)$ to subbicategories $2^{\prime}$ - dgart $_{+}, 2^{\prime}$ - dgart_, $2^{\prime}$ - art and $2^{\prime}$-cart respectively.

Proposition 11.5. A quasi-isomorphism $\delta: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ of $D G \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-modules induces an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\delta_{*}: \operatorname{DEF}\left(E_{1}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{DEF}\left(E_{2}\right)
$$

defined by $\delta_{*}(S, \sigma)=(S, \delta \cdot \sigma)$.
Proof. This is clear.
Proposition 11.6. Let $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a $D G$ functor which induces a quasi-equivalence $F^{\text {pre-tr }}: \mathcal{A}^{\text {pre-tr }} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{\text {pre-tr }}$ (this happens for example if $F$ is a quasi-equivalence). Then for any $E \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{o p}\right)$ the pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}\left(\mathbf{L} F^{*}(E)\right)$ are equivalent (hence also $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}\left(F_{*}\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ are equivalent for any $\left.E^{\prime} \in D\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime 0}\right)\right)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of I, Proposition 10.4. Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q} \in$ dgart_ $^{\text {and }} M \in$ $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. The DG functor $F$ induces a commutative functorial diagram

(and a similar diagram for $\mathcal{Q}$ instead of $\mathcal{R}$ ) which is compatible with the functors

$$
M^{*}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad M^{*}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\prime 0} \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\prime 0}\right)\right.
$$

Thus we obtain a morphism of pseudo-functors

$$
F^{*}: \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{DEF}_{-}\left(\mathbf{L} F^{*}(E)\right) .
$$

By I, Corollary 3.15 the functors $\mathbf{L} F^{*}$ and $\mathbf{L}(F \otimes \mathrm{id})^{*}$ are equivalences, hence this morphism $F^{*}$ is an equivalence.

Corollary 11.7. Assume that $D G$ algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are quasi-isomorphic. Then the pseudofunctors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent.

Proof. We may assume that there exists a morphism of DG algebras $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which is a quasiisomorphism. Then put $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{C}$ in the last proposition.

The following Theorem is stronger then I, Corollary 11.15 for the pseudo-functors Def_ and Def ${ }_{-}^{h}$.

Theorem 11.8. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-mod be a DG module. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
a) $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1}(E, E)=0$;
b) the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is bounded above.

Let $F \rightarrow E$ be a quasi-isomorphism with h-projective $F$. Then the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(E)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}^{h}(F)$ are equivalent.

Proof. Replace the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{Def}(E)$ by the equivalent pseudo-functor $\operatorname{Def}(F)$. Fix some negative artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_ . $^{\text {. }}$

Due to I, Corollary 11.4 a) it suffices to prove that for each $(S, i d) \in \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(F)$ one has $i^{*}(S)=\mathbf{L} i^{*}(S)$. Consider the DG algebra $\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{End}(F)$. First we will prove the following special case:

Lemma 11.9. The pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.
Proof. Take some $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{Def}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$. Let $A$ be a strictly unital minimal model of $\mathcal{B}$, and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$ quasi-isomorphism. By our assumption on $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E) \cong$ $H(\mathcal{B}), A$ is bounded above.

By Theorem 7.2 there exists an object $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ such that $S \cong \mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}$. The DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-modules $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}$ and $f_{*}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f_{\mathcal{R}}^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}\right)$ are naturally identified. Further, by Proposition 8.6 we have natural homotopy equivalence (in $A_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ )

$$
\gamma: \mathcal{R} \otimes_{\alpha} A \rightarrow f_{*}\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes_{f^{*}(\alpha)} \mathcal{R}\right) .
$$

Thus, it remains to prove that

$$
i^{*}\left(A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}\right)=\mathbf{L} i^{*}\left(A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}\right)
$$

We claim that $\mathcal{R} \otimes_{\alpha} A$ is h-projective. Indeed, since $A$ is bounded above and $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_ $^{\text {, }}$ this DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module has an increasing filtration by DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-submodules $A^{\geq i} \otimes \mathcal{R}$ with subquotients being free DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-modules $A^{i} \otimes \mathcal{R}$. Thus $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}$ satisfies property ( P ) as DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module and hence is h-projective. Lemma is proved.

Now take some $(S, i d) \in \operatorname{Def}^{h}(F)$. We claim that $S$ is h-projective. Recall the DG functor

$$
\Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}:(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}-\bmod , \quad \Sigma(M)=M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F .
$$

From I, Proposition 9.2 e) we know that $S \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ for some $\left(S^{\prime}, i d\right) \in \operatorname{Def}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$. By the above Lemma and I, Proposition 11.2, DG $(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}$-module $S^{\prime}$ is h-projective. Since the DG functor $\Sigma_{\mathcal{R}}$ preserves h-projectives, it follows that $S$ is also h-projective. Theorem is proved.

The next proposition is the analogue of Proposition 9.10 for the pseudo-functor DEF_ . Note that here we do not need boundedness assumptions on the h-projective DG module.

Proposition 11.10. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod be a $D G$ module. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
a) $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1}(E, E)=0$;
b) the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is bounded above.

Put $\mathcal{B}=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$. Then pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ are equivalent.
Proof. Take some h-projective $F$ quasi-isomorphic to $E$ and replace $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ by the equivalent pseudo-functor $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(F)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{B}=\operatorname{End}(F)$.

By I, Proposition 9.2 e) the DG functor $\Sigma=\Sigma^{F}: \mathcal{B}^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{o p}-\bmod , \Sigma(N)=N \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F$ induces an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{Def}^{h}(\Sigma): \operatorname{Def}^{h}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}^{h}(F) .
$$

By Lemma 11.8 we have that the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(F)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}^{h}(F)$ (resp. Def ${ }_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$ ) are equivalent. We conclude that $\Sigma$ also induces an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{Def}_{-}(\Sigma): \operatorname{Def}_{-}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{-}(F) .
$$

Let us prove that it extends to an equivalence

$$
\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\Sigma): \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(F) .
$$

Let $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q} \in$ dgart_ $^{\prime} M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. We need to show that the functorial diagram

commutes. This follows from the natural isomorphism

$$
N \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} M \cong N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} M \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} F .
$$

## 12. Deformation pseudo-Functor DEF for an augmented $A_{\infty}$-Algebra

Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. We are going to define the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(A)$ : 2'- dgart $\rightarrow$ Gpd .

Let $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian DG algebra. An object of the groupoid $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is a pair $(S, \sigma)$, where $S \in D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)$, and $\sigma$ is an isomorphism (in $D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}^{o p}\right)$ )

$$
\sigma: \mathbf{L} i^{*}(S) \rightarrow A
$$

A morphism $f:(S, \sigma) \rightarrow(T, \tau)$ in $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is an isomorphism (in $\left.D\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)\right) f: S \rightarrow T$ such that

$$
\tau \circ \mathbf{L} i^{*}(f)=\sigma .
$$

This defines the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(A)$ on objects. Further, let $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. Define the corresponding functor

$$
M^{*}: \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A) \rightarrow \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A)
$$

as follows. For an object $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ put

$$
M^{*}(S)=S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}} M \in D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{Q}^{o p}}^{o p}\right) .
$$

Then we have natural isomorphisms in $D_{\infty}(\bar{A})$ :

$$
M^{*}(S) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{L}} k=S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}}\left(M \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{L}} k\right) \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}} k \cong A
$$

(the second isomorphism is induced by $\theta$ ). Thus, $M^{*}$ is a functor form $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ to $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{Q}}(A)$.

If $\mathcal{Q}^{\prime}$ is another artinian DG algebra and $\left(M^{\prime}, \theta^{\prime}\right) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}^{\prime}\right)$ then there is a natural isomorphism of functors

$$
\left(M^{\prime} \otimes \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mathbf{L}} M\right)^{*} \cong M^{*} \cdot M^{*}
$$

Further, if $f \in 2-\operatorname{Hom}\left((M, \theta),\left(M, \theta_{1}\right)\right)$ is a 2 -morphism between objects $(M, \theta),\left(M, \theta_{1}\right) \in$ $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$ then it induces an isomorphism between corresponding functors $M^{*} \rightarrow M_{1}^{*}$.

Thus we obtain a pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(A): 2$ - dgart $\rightarrow \mathbf{G P d}$. We denote by $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(A)$ its restriction to the sub- 2 -category 2 -dgart_ .

Proposition 12.1. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra and $U(A)$ its universal $D G$ algebra. Then there is a natural equivalence of pseudo-functors $\operatorname{DEF}(U(A)) \cong \operatorname{DEF}(A)$.

Proof. The proof is the same as of Proposition 10.1 and we omit it.

Corollary 12.2. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra and let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $D G$ algebra quasiisomorphic to $A$. Then the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{DEF}(A)$ and $\operatorname{DEF}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 10.1 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{DEF}(A)$ and $\operatorname{DEF}(U(A))$ are equivalent, and by Corollary 11.7 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{DEF}(U(A))$ and $\operatorname{DEF}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent.

Corollary 12.3. Let $A$ be an admissible $A_{\infty}$-algebra, and $\mathcal{R}$ be an artinian negative $D G$ algebra. Then for any $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ there exists an $\alpha \in \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ such that the pair ( $T, i d$ ), where $T=A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}$, defines an object of $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ which is isomorphic to $(S, \sigma)$.

Proof. This follows easily from Proposition 12.1 and the proof of Lemma 11.8 in the case $\mathcal{B}=$ $U(A)$.
13. Comparison of pseudo-Functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E)$ and DEF $_{-}(E)$

We have proved in I, Corollary 11.9 that under some conditions on $E$ the pseudo-functors coDef_ $(E)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(E)$ from dgart_ to Gpd are equivalent. Note that we cannot speak about an equivalence of pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ since they are defined on different bicategories. So our first goal is to establish an equivalence of the bicategories 2 -adgalg and $2^{\prime}$-adgalg in the following sense: we will construct pseudo-functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}: 2 \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg, } \\
& \mathcal{D}^{\prime}: 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2 \text { - adgalg, }
\end{aligned}
$$

which have the following properties

1) $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ ) is the identity on objects;
2) for each $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{Ob}(2$ - adgalg $)$ they define mutually inverse equivalences of groupoids

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Hom}_{2-\operatorname{adgalg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{2^{\prime}-\operatorname{adgalg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}), \\
& \mathcal{D}^{\prime}: \operatorname{Hom}_{2^{\prime}-\operatorname{adgalg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{2-\operatorname{adgalg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix augmented DG algebras $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ and let $M$ be a DG $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module. Define the DG $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}$-module $\mathcal{D}(M)$ as

$$
\mathcal{D}(M):=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathcal{C})
$$

Further, let $N$ be a DG $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}^{o p}$-module. Define the $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{B}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{C}$-module $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(N)$ as

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(N)=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}^{o p}}(N, \mathcal{C}) .
$$

Proposition 13.1. The operations $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ as above induces the pseudo-functors

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{D}: 2 \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg, }, \\
& \mathcal{D}^{\prime}: 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2 \text { - adgalg, }
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the properties 1) and 2) hold.

Proof. To simplify the notation denote by $\operatorname{Hom}(-,-)$ and $\operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}(-,-)$ the morphisms in the bicategories 2 -adgalg and $2^{\prime}$-adgalg respectively.

We will prove that for augmented DG algebras $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ we have a (covariant) functor

$$
\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})
$$

and the functorial diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccccc}
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) & \times & \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right) & \rightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right) \\
\mathcal{D} \downarrow & & \mathcal{D} \downarrow & & \mathcal{D} \downarrow \\
\operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) & \times & \operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right) & \rightarrow & \operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

commutes for every triple of augmented algebras $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{3}$.
Let $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. Choose a quasi-isomorphism $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \nu_{*} M$ of DG $\mathcal{C}$-modules. It induces the quasi-isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{D}(f): \nu_{*} \mathcal{D}(M) \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C}
$$

of DG $\mathcal{C}^{o p}$-modules. Moreover, we claim that the quasi-isomorphism $\theta: k \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{C} M \rightarrow k$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{D}(\theta): \mathcal{D}(M) \stackrel{\stackrel{L}{\otimes}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{C}}} k \rightarrow k^{*}=k .
$$

Indeed, we may and will assume that the $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{B}^{o p}$-module $M$ is h-projective. Then by I, Lemma 3.23 it is also h-projective as a DG $\mathcal{C}$-module. Therefore by Lemma 13.3 a) below

$$
\mathcal{D}(M) \stackrel{\stackrel{L}{\otimes}}{\mathcal{C}} k=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} k .
$$

Note that the obvious morphism of DG $\mathcal{B}$-modules

$$
\delta: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, \mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} k \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, k)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. Indeed, the $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{C}$-module $M$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{C}$. Hence it suffices to check that $\delta$ is an isomorphism when $M=\mathcal{C}$, which is obvious, since both sides are equal to $k$. Now notice the obvious canonical isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(M, k)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(k \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M, k\right)=\left(k \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} M\right)^{*} \stackrel{\theta^{*}}{\leftrightarrows} k^{*}=k .
$$

Thus indeed, $(\mathcal{D}(M), \mathcal{D}(\theta))$ is an object in $\operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and therefore we have a (covariant) functor

$$
\mathcal{D}: \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})
$$

Let now $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \operatorname{Ob}\left(2\right.$ - adgalg) and $M_{1} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right), M_{2} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$. Then

$$
M_{2} \stackrel{\mathrm{~L}}{\mathrm{~L}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} M_{1} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right), \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{D}\left(M_{1}\right) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{2} \mathcal{D}\left(M_{2}\right) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right) . ~}
$$

We claim that the DG $\mathcal{B}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{3}^{o p}$-modules

$$
\mathcal{D}\left(M_{2} \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes \mathcal{B}_{2}} M_{1}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathcal{D}\left(M_{1}\right) \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_{2}}{\mathcal{D}\left(M_{2}\right)}
$$

are canonically quasi-isomorphic.
Indeed, we may and will assume that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are h-projective as DG $\mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{1}^{o p}$ - and $\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{2}^{o p}$-modules respectively. Then by Lemma 13.3 below it suffices to prove that the morphism of DG $\mathcal{B}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{3}^{o p}$-modules

$$
\epsilon: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\left(M_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{3}}\left(M_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} M_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\epsilon(f \otimes g)\left(m_{2} \otimes m_{1}\right):=(-1)^{\bar{f}\left(\bar{g}+\bar{m}_{2}\right)} g\left(m_{2} f\left(m_{1}\right)\right)
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism. To prove that $\epsilon$ is a quasi-isomorphism we may replace the DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ module $M_{1}$ by $\mathcal{B}_{2}$. Then $\epsilon$ is an isomorphism.

Thus, the operation $\mathcal{D}$ induces a pseudo-functor

$$
\mathcal{D}: 2 \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg . }
$$

Analogously, the operation $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ induces a pseudo-functor

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\prime}: 2^{\prime} \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow 2 \text { - adgalg . }
$$

It is clear that for $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\left.\quad N \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}^{\prime}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})\right)$ the canonical morphism $M \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}(M)$ (resp. $N \rightarrow \mathcal{D D}^{\prime}(M)$ ) is an isomorphism. Thus, the compositions $\mathcal{D}^{\prime} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D D ^ { \prime }}$ are equivalent to the identity.

Proposition is proved.

Corollary 13.2. For any augmented $D G$ algebra $\mathcal{B}$ the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{D}: 2$-adgalg $\rightarrow$ $2^{\prime}$-adgalg induces a morphism of pseudo-functors

$$
h_{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime} \cdot \mathcal{D},
$$

which is an equivalence.
Similarly, the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}: 2^{\prime}$-adgalg $\rightarrow 2$-adgalg induces an equivalence of pseudofunctors

$$
h_{\mathcal{B}}^{\prime} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{D}^{\prime}
$$

Proof. This is clear.
Lemma 13.3. Let $\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3} \in \operatorname{Ob}(2$-adgalg $), \quad M_{1} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right), \quad M_{2} \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{3}\right)$. Assume that $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are $h$-projective as $D G \mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{1}^{o p}$ - and $\mathcal{B}_{3} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{2}^{o p}$-modules respectively. Then
a) The $D G \mathcal{B}_{2}^{o p}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$ is h-projective.
b) The $D G \mathcal{B}_{3}$-module $M_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} M_{1}$ is h-projective.

Proof. a). Since $M_{1}$ is h-projective as a DG $\mathcal{B}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{1}^{o p}$-module, it is also such as a DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ module (I, Lemma 3.23). We denote this DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}$-module again by $M_{1}$.

Choose a quasi-isomorphism of DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}$-modules $f: \mathcal{B}_{2} \rightarrow M_{1}$. This is a homotopy equivalence since both $\mathcal{B}_{2}$ and $M_{1}$ are h-projective. Thus it induces a homotopy equivalence of DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{o p}$-modules

$$
f^{*}: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right) .
$$

But the DG $\mathcal{B}_{2}^{o p}$-module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(\mathcal{B}_{2}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)=\mathcal{B}_{2}$ is h-projective. Hence so is $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}_{2}}\left(M_{1}, \mathcal{B}_{2}\right)$.
b). The proof is similar. Namely, the DG $\mathcal{B}_{3}$-module $M_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} M_{1}$ is homotopy equivalent to $M_{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}_{2}} \mathcal{B}_{2}=M_{2}$, which is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{B}_{3}$.

Theorem 13.4. Assume that the $D G \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-module $E$ has the following properties.
i) $\operatorname{Ext}^{-1}(E, E)=0$.
ii) There exists a bounded above $h$-projective or $h$-injective $D G \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-module $P$ quasiisomorphic to $E$.
iii) There exists a bounded below h-projective or h-injective $D G \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-module $I$ which is quasi-isomorphic to $E$.

Then the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\left.\mathrm{DEF}_{( } E\right)-\cdot \mathcal{D}$ from 2-dgart_ to Gpd are equivalent.

Hence also the pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\left.\operatorname{coDEF}_{( } E\right)-\cdot \mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ from $2^{\prime}$-dgart_ to $\mathbf{G p d}$ are equivalent.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_ . Recall (I, Theorem 11.13) the DG functor $^{\text {. }}$

$$
\epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}: \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}-\bmod
$$

defined by

$$
\epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}(M)=M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{*} ;
$$

and the corresponding derived functor

$$
\mathbf{L}_{\epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}}: D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right)
$$

We know (I, Theorem 11.13) that under the assumptions i), ii), iii) this functor induces an equivalence of groupoids

$$
\mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}: \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(E) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(E)
$$

Let now $\mathcal{Q} \in$ dgart_ and $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. It suffices to prove that the functorial diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}} & \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(E) \\
\mathcal{D}(M)^{*} \downarrow & & \downarrow M^{!} \\
\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{Q}}} & \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{Q}}(E)
\end{array}
$$

naturally commutes.

Choose a bounded above h-projective or h-injective $P$ quasi-isomorphic to $E$. By I, Theorem 11.6 a) the groupoids $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(E)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(P)$ are equivalent. Hence given $(S, \operatorname{id}) \in \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathrm{h}}(P)$ it suffices to prove that there exists a natural isomorpism of objects in $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}\right)$

$$
M^{!} \cdot \mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}(S) \simeq \mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot \mathcal{D}(M)^{*}(S)
$$

i.e.

$$
\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, S \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right) \simeq S \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(M, \mathcal{Q}) \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes \mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{*} .
$$

We may and will assume that the DG $\mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module $M$ is h-projective. In the proof of I, Lemma 11.7 we showed that the DG $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}$-module $S$ is h-projective as a DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module. Therefore by Lemma 13.3 a) it suffices to prove that the morphism of DG $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{Q}}^{o p}$-modules

$$
\eta: S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(M, \mathcal{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, S \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
\eta(s \otimes f \otimes g)(m)(r)=s g(f(m r))
$$

is a quasi-isomorphism.
It suffices to prove that $\eta$ is a quasi-isomorphism of DG $\mathcal{Q}^{o p}$-modules. Notice that just the $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module structure on $S$ is important for us. Furthermore we may assume that $S$ satisfies property (P) as DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module (I, Definition 3.2). Thus it suffices to prove that $\eta$ is a quasi-isomorphism if $S=\mathcal{R}$. Then

$$
\eta: \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(M, \mathcal{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{*} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, \mathcal{R}^{*}\right)
$$

We have the canonical isomorphisms

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(M, \operatorname{Hom}_{k}(\mathcal{R}, k)\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}\left(M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}, k\right)=M^{*} .
$$

Also, since the DG $\mathcal{Q}^{o p}$-module $M$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{Q}$, we have the homotopy equivalences

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(M, \mathcal{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{*} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{Q}) \otimes_{\mathcal{Q}} \mathcal{Q}^{*} \simeq \mathcal{Q}^{*} \simeq M^{*}
$$

The next theorem is closely related to the previous one. It asserts the stronger statement in the case when $E$ is a DG algebra considered as a DG module over itself.

Theorem 13.5. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $D G$ algebra. Suppose that the following conditions hold:
a) $H^{-1}(\mathcal{B})=0$;
b) the cohomology algebra $H(\mathcal{B})$ is bounded above and bounded below. Then the pseudofunctors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{B}) \cdot \mathcal{D}$ from 2-dgart_ to Gpd are equivalent.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a negative artinian DG algebra. Recall the DG functors

$$
\begin{gathered}
\epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}:(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod , \quad \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}(M)=M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}^{*}, \\
\eta_{\mathcal{R}}:(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod \rightarrow(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{R})^{o p}-\bmod , \quad \eta_{\mathcal{R}}(M)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}}\left(\mathcal{R}^{*}, M\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By I, Proposition 4.7 they induce quasi-inverse equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}: \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(\mathcal{B}), \\
& \eta_{\mathcal{R}}: \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}^{h}(\mathcal{B}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Theorem 11.8 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$ are equivalent. By Lemma 9.9 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{B})$ and $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{h}(\mathcal{B})$. It follows that the derived functors $\mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}$, $\mathbf{R} \eta_{\mathcal{R}}$ induce mutually inverse equivalences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{L} \epsilon_{\mathcal{R}}: \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}) \\
& \mathbf{R} \eta_{\mathcal{R}}: \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(\mathcal{B}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let now $\mathcal{Q} \in$ dgart_ and $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$. It suffices to prove that the functorial diagram

naturally commutes. This fact is absoulutely analogous to the analogous fact from the proof of the previous theorem.

## Part 4. Pro-representability theorems

## 14. Pro-representability of the pseudo-functor coDEF_

The next theorem claims that under some conditions on the DG algebra $\mathcal{C}$ that the functor $\mathrm{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ is pro-representable.

Theorem 14.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $D G$ algebra such that the cohomology algebra $H(\mathcal{C})$ is admissible finite-dimensional. Let $A$ be a strictly unital minimal model of $\mathcal{C}$. Then the pseudo-functor $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ is pro-representable by the DG algebra $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. That is, there exists an equivalence of pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{\hat{S}}$ from 2 -dgart_ to Gpd.

As a corollary, we obtain the following
Theorem 14.2. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{o p}$-mod . Assume that the following conditions hold:
a) the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is admissible finite-dimensional;
b) $E$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded below $F$ which is h-projective or h-injective.

Then the pseudo-functor coDEF $_{-}(E)$ is pro-representable by the $D G$ algebra $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$, where $A$ is a strictly unital minimal model of $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$.

Proof. By Proposition 9.10 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E))$ are equivalent. So it remains to apply Theorem 14.1,

Proof. Note that we have natural quasi-isomorphism of DG algebras $U(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, hence $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(U(A))$. Further, by Proposition 10.1 we have $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(U(A)) \simeq$ $\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(A)$. We will construct an equivalence of pseudo-functors $\Theta: h_{\hat{S}} \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(A)$.

Consider the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\bar{S}^{\text {op }}}^{o p}$-module $B \bar{A} \otimes A$. Choose a quasi-isomorphism $B \bar{A} \otimes A \rightarrow J$, where $J$ is an h-injective $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module. Note that $J$ is also h-injective as a DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module.

Given an artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{R}$ and a 1 -morphism $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$ we define

$$
\Theta(M):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(M, J) .
$$

We have $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}\left(k, \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(M, J)\right)=\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}\left(k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}} M, J\right)$. Hence the quasiisomorphism $\theta: k \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}} M \rightarrow k$ induces a quasi-isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}(k, \Theta(M)) \simeq \mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\circ p}}(k, J)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}(k, J),
$$

and by Proposition 4.4 the last term is canonically quasi-isomorphic to $A$ as an $A_{\infty} A^{o p}$ module.

If we are given with another artinian DG algebra $\mathcal{Q}$ and a 1 -morphism $(N, \delta) \in$ $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{Q})$, then the object $\Theta\left(N \otimes_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathbf{L}} M\right)$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to the object $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(N, \Theta(M))$. Thus, $\Theta$ is a morphism of pseudo-functors.

It remains to prove that for each $\mathcal{R} \in 2$-dgart_ the induced functor $\Theta_{\mathcal{R}}: 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is an equivalence of groupoids. So fix a DG algebra $\mathcal{R} \in 2$ - dgart_ .
Surjective on isomorphism classes. Let $(S, \sigma)$ be an object of $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. By Corollary 10.3, there exists a morphism of DG algebras $\phi: \hat{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ such that the pair ( $T, i d$ ), where $T=\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}{ }^{\text {op }}}(\mathcal{R}, B \bar{A} \otimes A)$, defines an object of $\operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ which is isomorphic to $(S, \sigma)$. Further, by Proposition 4.7 the morphism $\operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(\mathcal{R}, B \bar{A} \otimes A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}(\mathcal{R}, J)$ is quasiisomorphism. Therefore, the object $(T, i d)$ is isomorphic to $\Theta(M)$, where $M=\mathcal{R}$ is DG $\hat{S}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{R}$-module via the homomorphism $\phi$.
Full and Faithful. Consider the above $\Theta$ as a contravariant DG functor from $\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}$-mod to $\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$. Define the contravariant DG functor $\Phi: \bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}-\bmod$ defined by the similar formula:

$$
\Phi(N)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}^{o p}}(N, J) .
$$

These DG functors induce the corresponding DG functors between derived categories

$$
\Theta: D\left(\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}\right), \quad \Phi: D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}\right) .
$$

Denote by $\langle k\rangle \subset D\left(\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}\right)$ and $\langle A\rangle \subset D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)$ the triangulated envelopes of the DG $\mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}$-module $k$ and $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $A$ respectively.

Lemma 14.3. The functors $\Theta$ and $\Phi$ induce mutually inverse anti-equivalences of the triangulated categories $\langle k\rangle$ and $\langle A\rangle$.

Proof. For $M \in \mathcal{R} \otimes \hat{S}^{o p}-\bmod$, and $N \in \bar{A}_{\mathcal{R} o p}^{o p}-\bmod _{\infty}$ we have the functorial closed morphisms

$$
\beta_{M}: M \rightarrow \Phi(\Theta(M)), \quad \beta_{M}(x)_{1}(f)=(-1)^{|f||x|} f(x), \quad \beta_{M}(x)_{n}=0 \text { for } n \geq 2 \text {; }
$$

$$
\gamma_{N}: N \rightarrow \Theta(\Phi(N)), \quad\left(\gamma_{N}\right)_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, y\right)(f)=(-1)^{n\left(\left|a_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|a_{n-1}\right|+|y|\right)} f_{n}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}, y\right)
$$

By Proposition 4.4 the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $\Theta(k)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $A$. Further, $\Phi(A)$ is quasi-isomorphic to $J$ and hence to $k$. Therefore, $\beta_{k}$ and $\gamma_{A}$ are quasi-isomorphisms, and Lemma is proved.

Note that for $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$ (resp. for $\left.(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)\right) M \in\langle k\rangle$ (resp. $S \in\langle A\rangle)$. Hence the functor $\Theta_{\mathcal{R}}: 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{coDEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is fully faithful. This proves the theorem.

## 15. Pro-representability of the pseudo-Functor DEF_

Pro-representability Theorems 14.1 and 14.2 imply analogous results for the pseudo-functor $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}$. Namely, we have the following Theorems.

Theorem 15.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a $D G$ algebra such that the cohomology algebra $H(\mathcal{C})$ is admissible finite-dimensional. Let $A$ be a strictly unital minimal model of $\mathcal{C}$. Then the pseudo-functor $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ is pro-representable by the $D G$ algebra $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. That is, there exists an equivalence of pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime}$ from $2^{\prime}$-dgart_ to Gpd.

Proof. By Theorem 14.1 we have the equivalence

$$
\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{\hat{S}}
$$

of pseudo-functors from 2-dgart to Gpd.
By Theorem 13.5 we have the equivalence

$$
\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{D}
$$

of pseudo-functors from 2- dgart to Gpd.
Further, by Corollary 13.2

$$
h_{\hat{S}} \simeq h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \cdot \mathcal{D} .
$$

Hence $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{D} \simeq h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \cdot \mathcal{D}$ and therefore

$$
\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} .
$$

We get the following corollary.

Theorem 15.2. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod. Assume that the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is admissible finite-dimensional. Then the pseudo-functor $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ is pro-representable by the DG algebra $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$, where $A$ is a strictly unital minimal model of the $D G$ algebra $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$.

Proof. Indeed, by Proposition 11.10 the pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)$ and $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E))$ are equivalent. And by Theorem 15.1 the pseudo-functors $\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E))$ and $h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime}$ are equivalent.

We would like to mention here several examples.
Example 15.3. Let $X$ be a commutative scheme over $k$ of finite type, and let $x \in X(k)$ be a regular $k$-point. Take the skyscraper sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{x} \in D_{\text {coh }}^{b}(X)$. Then one can show that $\operatorname{Ext}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}, \mathcal{O}_{x}\right) \cong \Lambda\left(T_{x} X\right)$, and the $D G$ algebra $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{O}_{x}, \mathcal{O}_{x}\right)$ is formal. It follows that $H^{i}(\hat{S})=0$ for $i \neq 0$, and $H^{0}(\hat{S}) \cong k\left[\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]\right]$, where $n=\operatorname{dim}_{x} X$.

Example 15.4. Let $X$ be a proper curve of genus $g$ over $k$ and $\mathcal{L} \in D_{\text {coh }}^{b}(X)$ a line bundle over $X$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}^{0}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})=k$, $\operatorname{Ext}^{1}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})=k^{g}$, and $\operatorname{Ext}^{i}(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L})=0$ for $i \neq 0$, 1 . It follows that the $D G$ algebra $\hat{S}$ is concentrated in degree zero and is isomorphic to the algebra of noncommutative power series in $g$ variables.

Example 15.5. Let $V$ be a vector space of dimension $n$, and let $W \subset V$ be a subspace of dimension $m, \quad 1 \leq m \leq n-1$. Put $E=\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(W)} \in D_{\text {coh }}^{b}(\mathbb{P}(V))$. One can show that the graded algebra $A=\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is isomorphic to $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq n-m} \operatorname{Sym}^{i}\left(W^{\vee}\right) \otimes \Lambda^{i}(V / W)$. The later algebra can be shown to be quadratic Koszul. Again, one can show that the $D G$ algebra $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ is formal. It follows that $H^{i}(\hat{S})=0$ for $i \neq 0$, and $H^{0}(\hat{S})$ is a (completion of) Koszul dual to $A$. For $m \neq 1$, we have that the algebra $H^{0}(\hat{S})$ is non-commutative.

In the proof of Theorem 14.1 we showed that the bar complex $B \bar{A} \otimes_{\tau_{A}} A$ is the "universal co-deformation" of the $A_{\infty} \quad \bar{A}^{o p}$-module $A$. However, Theorem 15.1 is deduced from Theorem 14.1 without finding the analogous "universal deformation" of the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}^{o p}$-module $A$. We do not know if this "universal deformation" exists in general (under the assumptions of Theorem 15.1). But we can find it and hence give a direct proof of Theorem 15.1 if the minimal model $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ satisfies an extra assumption (*) below.

For the rest of this section we assume that the DG algebra $\mathcal{C}$ has an augmented minimal model $A$.

Definition 15.6. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Consider $k$ as a left $A_{\infty} A$-module. We say that $A$ satisfies the condition $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ if the canonical morphism

$$
k \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A} o p}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(k, A), A\right)
$$

of left $A_{\infty} \bar{A}$-modules is a quasi-isomorphism.

Example 15.7. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. If $k$ lies in $\operatorname{Perf}(A)$ then $A$ satisfies the condition (*).

In particular, suppose that $A$ is homologically smooth and compact. That is, the diagonal $A_{\infty}$ $A-A$-bimodule $A$ lies in $\operatorname{Perf}(A-A)$ (smoothness), and $\operatorname{dim} H(A)<\infty$ (compactness). Then the $A_{\infty} A$-module is perfect iff it has finite-dimensional total cohomology. Thus, $k \in \operatorname{Perf}(A)$ and $A$ satisfies the condition (*).

Example 15.8. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra which is left and right Gorenstein of dimension $d$.This means that

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\bar{A}}^{p}(k, A)= \begin{cases}k, & \text { if } p=d \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{\bar{A}^{\text {op }}}^{p}(k, A)= \begin{cases}k, & \text { if } p=d \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then $A$ satisfies the condition (*).
For the rest of this section assume that $A$ is admissible, finite-dimensional and satisfies the condition (*).

Denote by $\mathcal{E}$ the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\bar{S}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module

$$
\mathcal{E}:=\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(k, A) .
$$

This $A_{\infty}$-module is isomorphic to $A \otimes \hat{S}$ as a graded $\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)^{g r}$-module and can be given explicitly by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{n}^{\mathcal{E}}\left(m, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}\right)=m_{n}^{\mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\infty}^{\hat{S}}(A)\left(0, \ldots, 0, \tau_{A}\right)}\left(m, a_{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\hat{S}}, \ldots, a_{n-1} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\hat{S}}\right) \tag{15.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 15.9. The definition of the $A_{\infty}$-category $\mathcal{M C}_{\infty}^{\hat{S}}(A)$ is the same as if $\hat{S}$ would be artinian. It is correct because $\hat{S}$ is complete in $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology and $A$ is finite-dimensional. In the above formula $\tau_{A}$ is considered as an element of $A \otimes \hat{S}=\operatorname{Hom}_{k}(B \bar{A}, A)$. We denote the $A_{\infty} \quad \bar{A}_{\hat{S}^{\text {op }}}^{o p}-$ module $\mathcal{E}$ by $A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} \hat{S}$.

We claim that $\mathcal{E}$ is the "universal deformation" of $A$. This is justified by Theorem 15.12 below. Let us start with a few lemmas.

Lemma 15.10. The object $\mathcal{E}$ considered as a $D G \hat{S}^{o p}$-module is h-projective.
Proof. Notice that the stupid filtration $A^{\geq i}$ of the complex $A$ is finite. Since $A$ is admissible it follows that the differential $m_{1}^{\mathcal{E}}$ preserves the $\left(\hat{S}^{o p}\right)^{g r}$-submodule $A^{\geq i} \otimes \hat{S}$. Hence the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$ module $\mathcal{E}=A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} \hat{S}$ has a finite filtration by DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-submodules $A^{\geq i} \otimes \hat{S}$ with subquotients being free $\hat{S}^{o p}$-modules $A^{i} \otimes \hat{S}$. Thus the DG $\hat{S}^{o p}$-module $\mathcal{E}$ is h-projective.

Lemma 15.11. The $A_{\infty} \bar{A}^{o p}$-module $\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\hat{S}} k$ is canonically quasi-isomorphic to $A$.
Proof. By Lemma 15.10 and Remark 15.9 we have

$$
\mathcal{E}{\stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\hat{S}}}} k=\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\hat{S}} k=A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} \hat{S} \otimes_{\hat{S}} k,
$$

and the last $A_{\infty} \quad A^{o p}$-module is isomorphic to $A$ since $\tau_{A} \in A \otimes \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m} \subset \hat{S}$ is the augmentation ideal.

Now we are ready to define a morphism of pseudo-functors

$$
\Psi: h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(A)
$$

Let $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart $_{-}$and $M=(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$. We put

$$
\Psi(M):=\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathbf{Q}}{\hat{S}} M \in D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right)
$$

Notice that the structure isomorphism $\theta: M \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} k \rightarrow k$ defines an isomorphism

$$
\mathbf{L} i^{*} \Psi(M)=\Psi(M) \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} k=\mathcal{E}{\stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{S}}} M \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} k \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathbf{\otimes}}{\hat{S}}^{\mathbf{L}} k
$$

and the last term is canonically quasi-isomorphic to $A$ as an $A_{\infty} \quad \bar{A}^{o p}$-module by Lemma 15.11. Hence $\Psi(M)$ is indeed an object in the groupoid $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$.

If $\delta: M \rightarrow N$ is a 2 -morphism, where $M, N \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$, then $\Psi(\delta): \Psi(M) \rightarrow \Psi(N)$ is a morphism of objects in the groupoid $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. Thus $\Psi$ is indeed a morphism of pseudofunctors.

Theorem 15.12. The morphism $\Psi: h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{DEF}_{-}(A)$ is an equivalence.
Proof. It remains to show that for each $\mathcal{R} \in$ dgart_ the induced functor

$$
\Psi: 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)
$$

is an equivalence of groupoids.
We fix $\mathcal{R}$.

## Surjective on isomorphism classes.

Let $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. By Corollary 12.3 there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathcal{M} \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ such that the pair $(T, \mathrm{id})$, where $T=A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}$, defines an object of $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ and $(T, \mathrm{id})$ is isomorphic to $(S, \sigma)$ in $\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. The element $\alpha$ corresponds to a (unique) admissible twisting cochain $\tau: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow A$, which in turn corresponds to a homomorphism of DG coalgebras $g_{\tau}: \mathcal{R}^{*} \rightarrow B \bar{A}$ (Proposition 8.1). By dualizing we obtain a homomorphism of DG algebras $g_{\tau}^{*}: \hat{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and hence the corresponding object $M_{\alpha}=\left({ }_{\hat{S}} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathrm{id}\right) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$.

Lemma 15.13. The object $\Psi\left(M_{\alpha}\right) \in \operatorname{Def}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ is isomorphic to ( $T, \mathrm{id}$ ).

Proof. By Remark 15.9

$$
\mathcal{E}=A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} \hat{S}
$$

and hence by Lemma 15.10

$$
\Psi\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=\left(A \otimes_{\tau_{A}} \hat{S}\right) \otimes_{g_{\tau}^{*}} \mathcal{R} .
$$

Notice that the image of $\tau_{A}$ under the map

$$
\mathbf{1}_{A} \otimes g_{\tau}^{*}: A \otimes \hat{S} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{R}
$$

coincides with $\tau$. Thus $\Psi\left(M_{\alpha}\right)=T$.

## Full and faithful.

Let us define a functor $\Pi: \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A) \rightarrow 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$ as follows: for $S=(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$ we put

$$
\Pi(S):=\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}^{o p}}(\mathcal{E}, S) \in D\left(\hat{S}^{o p} \otimes \mathcal{R}\right) .
$$

We claim that $\Pi(S)$ is an object in $1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$, i. e. it is quasi-isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}$ as a DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module and the isomorphism $\sigma$ defines an isomorphism $\Pi(S) \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}} k \xrightarrow{\sim} k$.

Indeed, again by Corollary 12.3 we may and will assume that $(S, \sigma)=(T$, id), where $T=$ $A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}, \alpha \in \mathcal{M C}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. We have

$$
\Pi(T)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{o p}}\left(\mathcal{E}, A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{o p}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{A}(k, A), A\right) \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R} .
$$

Since the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ satisfies the condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ the last term as a DG $\mathcal{R}^{o p}$-module is canonically quasi-isomorphic to $k \otimes \mathcal{R}=\mathcal{R}$. Thus we have a canonical isomorphism $\Pi(S) \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\otimes_{\mathcal{R}}}$ $k \xrightarrow{\sim} k$.

Note that the functors $\Psi$ and $\Pi$ are adjoint:

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)}(\Psi(M), S)=\operatorname{Hom}_{1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})}(M, \Pi(S)) .
$$

Now let us consider $\Psi$ and $\Pi$ as functors simply between the derived categories $D\left(\hat{S} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}\right)$ and $D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right.$. (They remain adjoint). Denote by $\langle k\rangle \subset D\left(\hat{S} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}\right)$ and $\langle A\rangle \subset D_{\infty}\left(\bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}\right.$ the triangulated envelopes of the DG module $k$ and the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\mathcal{R}^{o p}}^{o p}$-module $A$ respectively. Let $(S, \sigma) \in \operatorname{DEF}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. By Corollary 12.3 we may and will assume that $(S, \sigma)=(T$, id) , where $T=A \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{R}, \alpha \in \mathcal{M C} \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}(A)$. Hence $S \in\langle A\rangle$. Choose $(M, \theta) \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\hat{S}, \mathcal{R})$. Since the DG algebra $\hat{S} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}$ is local and complete by Lemma 4.2 we have $M \in\langle k\rangle$. Therefore it suffices to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 15.14. The functors $\Psi$ and $\Pi$ induce mutually inverse equivalences of triangulated categories $\langle k\rangle$ and $\langle A\rangle$.

Proof. It suffices to prove that the adjunction maps $k \rightarrow \Pi \Psi(k)$ and $\Psi \Pi(A) \rightarrow A$ are isomorphisms.

We have $\Pi \Psi(k)=\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}^{\text {op }}}\left(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\hat{S}}} k\right)=\operatorname{Hom}_{A^{o p}}(\mathcal{E}, A)$ (Lemma 15.11). Hence $k \rightarrow \Pi \Psi(k)$ is a quasi-isomorphism because $A$ satisfies property (*).

Vice versa, $\Psi \Pi(A)=\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}_{\hat{S}}\left(\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A} o p}(\mathcal{E}, A)\right)=\mathcal{E}^{\mathbf{L}}{ }_{\hat{S}} k$, since $A$ satisfies property (*). But $\mathcal{E} \stackrel{\mathrm{L}}{\hat{S}}^{\mathrm{L}} k=A$ by Lemma 15.11 ,

This proves the lemma.
Theorem is proved.
15.1. Explicit equivalence $\operatorname{DEF}_{-}(E) \cong h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime}$. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{o p}$ - $\bmod$. Suppose that the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E, E)$ is admissible and finite-dimensional. Let $A$ be a strictly unital minimal model of the DG algebra $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$. Suppose that $A$ satisfies the condition $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ above. Further, let $F \rightarrow E$ be a quasi-isomorphism with h-projective $F, \mathcal{C}=\operatorname{End}(F)$ and let $f: A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a strictly unital $A_{\infty}$-quasi-isomorphism. By Theorem 15.12, the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}_{\tilde{S}^{o p}}^{o p}-$ module $\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(k, A)$ is the "universal deformation" of the $A_{\infty} \bar{A}^{o p}$-module $A$. It follows from the equivalence $\operatorname{DEF}_{-}(A) \cong \operatorname{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})$ (Corollary (12.2) that that the $(\mathcal{C} \otimes \hat{S})^{o p}$-module

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(k, \mathcal{C})=\mathcal{C} \otimes_{f^{*}\left(\tau_{A}\right)} \hat{S}
$$

is the "universal deformation" of the DG $\mathcal{C}^{o p}$-module $\mathcal{C}$.
Put

$$
\mathcal{F}=\operatorname{Hom}_{\bar{A}}(k, \mathcal{C}) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} F=\left(\mathcal{C} \otimes_{f^{*}\left(\tau_{A}\right)} \hat{S}\right) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}} F .
$$

Then $\mathcal{F}$ is a $\mathrm{DG} \mathcal{A}_{\hat{S}}^{o p}$-module. We claim that it is a "universal deformation" of the DG module $E$. More precisely, we get the following

Corollary 15.15. Let $E$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be as above. Then the functors $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}: D\left(\hat{S} \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right)$,

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(M)=\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes}}{\hat{S}},
$$

induce the equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\Phi: h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)
$$

from dgart_ to Gpd.
Proof. Indeed, the morphism $\Phi: h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \operatorname{DEF}_{-}(E)$ is isomorphic to the composition of the equivalence

$$
\Psi: h_{\hat{S}}^{\prime} \rightarrow \text { DEF }_{-}(A)
$$

from Theorem 15.12, the equivalence

$$
\operatorname{DEF}_{-}(A) \cong \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C})
$$

from Corollary 12.2, and the equivalence

$$
\mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\Sigma): \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{DEF}_{-}(E)
$$

from the proof of Proposition 11.10.

## 16. CLASSICAL PRO-REPRESENTABILITY

Recall that for a small groupoid $\mathcal{M}$ one denotes by $\pi_{0}(\mathcal{M})$ the set of isomorphism classes of objects in $\mathcal{M}$.

All our deformation functors have values in the 2-category of groupoids Gpd. We may compose those pseudo-functors with $\pi_{0}$ to obtain functors with values in the category Set of sets. Classically pro-representability theorems are statements about these compositions. Out pro-representability Theorems $14.1,14.2,15.1,15.2$ have some "classical" implications which we discuss next.

Definition 16.1. Denote by alg and calg the full subcategories of the category adgalg (I, Section 2) consisting of local (!) augmented algebras (resp. local commutative augmented algebras) concentrated in degree zero. That is we consider the categories of usual local augmented (resp. commutative local augmented) algebras. Then we have the full subcategories art $\subset$ alg and cart $\subset$ calg of (local augmented) artinian (resp. commutative artinian) algebras (I, Definitions 2.1-2.3). Note that for $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{alg}$ the group of units of $\mathcal{C}$ acts by conjugation on the set $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$. We call this the adjoint action. The orbits of this action define an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ and we denote by alg/ad the corresponding quotient category, where $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg} / \mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is the set of equivalence classes. Let

$$
q: \operatorname{alg} \rightarrow \operatorname{alg} / \mathrm{ad}
$$

be the quotient functor. We obtain the corresponding full subcategory art/ad $\subset \operatorname{alg} / \mathrm{ad}$.
Remark 16.2. Note that if $\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{alg}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ is commutative then the adjoint action on $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})$ is trivial.

Recall the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{F}:$ adgalg $\rightarrow 2$-adgalg from Section 9 . We denote also by $\mathcal{F}$ its restriction to the full subcategory alg. Since the functor $q$ and the pseudo-functor $\mathcal{F}$ are the identity on objects we will write $\mathcal{B}$ instead of $q(\mathcal{B})$ or $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$ for $\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{alg}$.

Fix $\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{alg}$. We consider two functors from alg to Set which are defined by $\mathcal{B}: h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot q$ and $\pi_{0} \cdot h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{F}$. Namely, for $\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{alg}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot q(\mathcal{C}) & =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathrm{alg} / \mathrm{ad}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}) \\
\pi_{0} \cdot h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}) & =\pi_{0}\left(1-\operatorname{Hom}_{2-\operatorname{adgalg}}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C})\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 16.3. For any $\mathcal{B} \in$ alg the above functors $h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot q$ and $\pi_{0} \cdot h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{F}$ from alg to Set are isomorphic.

Proof. This is proved in Lemma 9.3 a), b).
Corollary 16.4. For any $\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{alg}$ the functors $h_{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\pi_{0} \cdot h_{\mathcal{B}} \cdot \mathcal{F}$ from calg to Set are isomorphic.

Proof. This follows from Lemma 16.3 and Remark 16.2 ,
Definition 16.5. Let $A$ be an augmented $A_{\infty}$-algebra. We call $A$ Koszul if the $D G$ algebra $\hat{S}:=(B \bar{A})^{*}$ is quasi-isomorphic to $H^{0}(\hat{S})$.

Note that the augmented $A_{\infty^{-}}$algebras coming from Examples 15.3, 15.4, 15.5 are formal and quadratic Koszul, hence Koszul in our sence.

Lemma 16.6. Let $\phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a quasi-isomorphism of augmented $D G$ algebras. Then it induces a morphism $\phi_{*}: h_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow h_{\mathcal{B}}$ of pseudo-functors from 2-adgalg to Gpd. This morphism is an equivalence.

Proof. Indeed, for $\mathcal{E} \in 2$ - adgalg and $M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ denote by $\phi_{*} M \in 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E})$ the DG $\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{o p}$-module obtained from $M$ by restriction of scalars. This functor $\phi_{*}$ defines an equivalence of derived categories

$$
\phi_{*}: D\left(\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow D\left(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{o p}\right)
$$

since $\phi$ is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence it defines an equivalence of groupoids

$$
\phi_{*}: 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow 1-\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{E}) .
$$

Theorem 16.7. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a DG algebra such that the strictly unital minimal model $A$ of $\mathcal{C}$ (Definition 4.1) is a Koszul $A_{\infty}$-algebra. Put $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. Then
a) there exists an isomorphism of functors from art to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot q \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{coDef}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) ;
$$

b) there exists an isomorphism of functors from cart to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathrm{cl}}(\mathcal{C}) .
$$

Proof. a) Note that the DG algebra $\hat{S}$ is concentrated in nonpositive degrees. hence we have a natural homomorphism of augmented DG algebras $\hat{S} \rightarrow H^{0}(\hat{S})$ which is a quasi-isomorphism. Hence by Lemma 16.6 the pseudo-functors

$$
h_{\hat{S}}, h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})}: 2 \text { - adgalg } \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}
$$

are equivalent. Notice that $\hat{S}$ is a local algebra and the homomorphism $\hat{S} \rightarrow H^{0}(\hat{S})$ is surjective. Hence the algebra $H^{0}(\hat{S})$ is also local.

By Theorem 14.1 we have an equivalence of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDEF}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{\hat{S}}: 2-\text { art } \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d} .
$$

Thus $\operatorname{coDEF}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})}$. By Proposition 9.5

$$
\operatorname{coDEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \cdot \mathcal{F} \simeq \operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{C})
$$

Therefore

$$
\operatorname{coDef}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot \mathcal{F}: \text { art } \rightarrow \mathbf{G p d}
$$

Finally, by Lemma 16.3

$$
\pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{coDef}_{0}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot q: \text { art } \rightarrow \text { Set }
$$

This proves a).
b) This follows from a) and Remark 16.2

Remark 16.8. Under the assumptions of Theorem 16.7 the same conclusion holds for pseudofunctors $\operatorname{coDef}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{C}), \operatorname{Def}(\mathcal{C}), \quad \operatorname{Def}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{C})$ instead of $\operatorname{coDef}(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, by Lemmas 11.8, 9.9 and Theorem 13.5 there are equivalences of pseudo-functors

$$
\operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{coDef}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{DEF}_{-}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Def}_{-}^{\mathrm{h}}(\mathcal{C})
$$

Theorem 16.9. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod. Assume that $E$ is quasi-isomorphic to a bounded below $F \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod which is h-projective or $h$-injective. Also assume that the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E)$ is admissible and finite-dimensional, and the strictly unital minimal model $A$ of the $D G$ algebra $\operatorname{End}(F)$ is Koszul. Put $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. Then
a) there exists an isomorphism of functors from art to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot q \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{coDef}_{0}(E) ;
$$

b) there exists an isomorphism of functors from cart to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{coDef}_{\mathrm{cl}}(E)
$$

Proof. By I, Proposition 11.16 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}(E)$ and $\operatorname{coDef}_{-}(\operatorname{End}(F))$ are equivalent. So the theorem follows from Theorem 16.7 .

Theorem 16.10. Let $E \in \mathcal{A}^{\text {op }}$-mod . Assume that the graded algebra $\operatorname{Ext}(E)$ is admissible and finite-dimensional, and the strictly unital minimal model $A$ of the $D G$ algebra $\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E)$ is Koszul. Put $\hat{S}=(B \bar{A})^{*}$. Then
a) there exists an isomorphism of functors from art to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot q \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{Def}_{0}(E)
$$

b) there exists an isomorphism of functors from cart to Set

$$
h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \simeq \pi_{0} \cdot \operatorname{Def}_{\mathrm{cl}}(E)
$$

Proof. By I, Proposition 11.16 the pseudo-functors $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(E)$ and $\operatorname{Def}_{-}(\mathbf{R} \operatorname{Hom}(E, E))$ are equivalent. So the theorem follows from Theorem 16.7 and Remark 16.8 ,

If, in addition, the $A_{\infty}$-algebra $A$ in the above Theorem satisfies condition $\left(^{*}\right)$ (Definition 15.6), then the equivalences a), b) can be made explicit. Namely, we get the following

Corollary 16.11. Let $E, \hat{S}$ be as in Theorem 16.10 and $\mathcal{F}$ be as in Corollary 15.15. Then the equivalence $h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \cdot q \rightarrow \operatorname{Def}_{0}(E)$ of functors from art to Set, and the equivalence $h_{H^{0}(\hat{S})} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Def}_{0}(E)$ of functors from cart to Set are induced by the functors $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}: D\left(H^{0}(\hat{S}) \otimes \mathcal{R}^{o p}\right) \rightarrow$ $D\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}^{o p}\right)$,

$$
\Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(M)=\mathcal{F} \stackrel{\mathbf{L}}{\otimes_{\hat{S}}} M
$$

Proof. This follows from Corollary 15.15
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