

Cut Elimination in Intuitionistic Predicate Logic

Logic II, University of Pennsylvania, Spring 2017

The Calculus

- ▶ Sequents: $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$, where A is a formula, Γ is a finite multiset of formulae.

The Calculus

- ▶ Sequents: $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$, where A is a formula, Γ is a finite multiset of formulae.
- ▶ Axioms:

$$\overline{A \Rightarrow A} \quad \overline{\perp \Rightarrow A}$$

The Calculus

- ▶ Sequents: $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$, where A is a formula, Γ is a finite multiset of formulae.

- ▶ Axioms:

$$\overline{A \Rightarrow A} \quad \overline{\perp \Rightarrow A}$$

- ▶ Propositional rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A_i \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A_1 \wedge A_2 \Rightarrow C} (\wedge L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow A_2}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \wedge A_2} (\wedge R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A_1 \Rightarrow C \quad \Gamma, A_2 \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A_1 \vee A_2 \Rightarrow C} (\vee L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \vee A_2} (\vee R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Gamma, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R)$$

The Calculus

- ▶ Quantifier rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(t) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\forall L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A(x)} (\forall R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(y) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\exists L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R)$$

The Calculus

- ▶ Quantifier rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(t) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\forall L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A(x)} (\forall R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(y) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\exists L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R)$$

Constraints: $y \notin FV(\Gamma)$; the substitution of t for x is free.

The Calculus

- ▶ Quantifier rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(t) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\forall L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A(x)} (\forall R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(y) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\exists L) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R)$$

Constraints: $y \notin FV(\Gamma)$; the substitution of t for x is free.

- ▶ Structural rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C} (\text{weak}) \qquad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C} (\text{contr})$$

The Calculus

- ▶ Quantifier rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(t) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \forall x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\forall L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A(x)} (\forall R)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, A(y) \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \exists x A(x) \Rightarrow C} (\exists L) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R)$$

Constraints: $y \notin FV(\Gamma)$; the substitution of t for x is free.

- ▶ Structural rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C} (\text{weak}) \quad \frac{\Gamma, A, A \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow C} (\text{contr})$$

- ▶ Cut:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\text{cut})$$

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

- ▶ Induction on the number of cuts in the proof.

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

- ▶ Induction on the number of cuts in the proof.

Lemma

If $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ and $A, \Delta \Rightarrow C$ have cut-free proofs, so does $\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C$.

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

- ▶ Induction on the number of cuts in the proof.

Lemma

If $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ and $A, \Delta \Rightarrow C$ have cut-free proofs, so does $\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C$.

- ▶ Induction on the complexity of the formula A being cut.

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

- ▶ Induction on the number of cuts in the proof.

Lemma

If $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ and $A, \Delta \Rightarrow C$ have cut-free proofs, so does $\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C$.

- ▶ Induction on the complexity of the formula A being cut.
- ▶ Induction on the sum of derivation depths.

Cut Elimination Strategy

Nested induction:

- ▶ Induction on the number of cuts in the proof.

Lemma

If $\Gamma \Rightarrow A$ and $A, \Delta \Rightarrow C$ have cut-free proofs, so does $\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C$.

- ▶ Induction on the complexity of the formula A being cut.
- ▶ Induction on the sum of derivation depths.
- ▶ Cut with an axiom is trivial.

Cut Elimination: Non-principal Cases

The formula being cut is in the *context* of one of the rules.

Cut Elimination: Non-principal Cases

The formula being cut is in the *context* of one of the rules.

For example: (*cut*) vs ($\wedge R$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (\wedge R)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (cut)$$

Cut Elimination: Non-principal Cases

The formula being cut is in the *context* of one of the rules.

For example: (*cut*) vs ($\wedge R$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (\wedge R)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (cut)$$

translates to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1} (cut) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2} (cut)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (\wedge R)$$

Cut Elimination: Non-principal Cases

The formula being cut is in the *context* of one of the rules.

For example: (*cut*) vs ($\wedge R$):

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (\wedge R)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (cut)$$

translates to

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1} (cut) \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_2} (cut)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow B_1 \wedge B_2} (\wedge R)$$

Both new cuts have smaller depth.

Cut Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Cut Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

translates to

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Cut Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

translates to

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Both new cuts have smaller cut formulae.

Cut Elimination: Structural Rules

- ▶ Weakening:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (weak)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut Elimination: Structural Rules

- ▶ Weakening:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (weak)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut disappears: Γ can be introduced by weakenings.

Cut Elimination: Structural Rules

- ▶ Weakening:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (weak)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut disappears: Γ can be introduced by weakenings.

- ▶ Contraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (contr)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut Elimination: Structural Rules

- ▶ Weakening:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (weak)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut disappears: Γ can be introduced by weakenings.

- ▶ Contraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (contr)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Translation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (contr)}} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut Elimination: Structural Rules

- ▶ Weakening:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (weak)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Cut disappears: Γ can be introduced by weakenings.

- ▶ Contraction:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{A, A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (contr)}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}$$

Translation:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A, A, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (cut)}}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (contr)}} \text{ (cut)}$$

Problem! For the lower cut, the induction parameter cannot be controlled.

Overcoming Issues with Contraction

Possible ways:

- ▶ Reformulate the calculus to avoid contraction (let Γ be a set rather than multiset, include contraction into the rules, ...)
- ▶ Prove elimination of a more general *mix* rule (a combination of cut and contraction):

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \quad A^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} \text{ (mix)}$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, (A \rightarrow B)^n \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, (A \rightarrow B)^n \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$ (mix is cut)

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Both new cuts have smaller cut formulae.

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, (A \rightarrow B)^n \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$ (mix is cut)

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Both new cuts have smaller cut formulae.

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{\Delta, A, \Gamma, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (contr)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Implication)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B} (\rightarrow R) \quad \frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, \Pi, (A \rightarrow B)^n \Rightarrow C} (\rightarrow L)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$ (mix is cut)

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, A, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Both new cuts have smaller cut formulae.

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\Pi \Rightarrow A \quad \frac{\Gamma, A \Rightarrow B \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A \rightarrow B \quad \Delta, B, (A \rightarrow B)^{n-1} \Rightarrow C}{\Delta, B, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{\Delta, A, \Gamma, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Delta, \Pi, \Gamma \Rightarrow C} (contr)$$

Both cuts have smaller cut formulae; mix has smaller depth.

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Conjunction)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow A_2}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \wedge A_2} (\wedge R) \quad \frac{A_i, (A_1 \wedge A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{(A_1 \wedge A_2)^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\wedge L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Conjunction)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow A_2}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \wedge A_2} (\wedge R) \quad \frac{A_i, (A_1 \wedge A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{(A_1 \wedge A_2)^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\wedge L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i \quad A_i, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Conjunction)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \quad \Gamma \Rightarrow A_2}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \wedge A_2} (\wedge R) \quad \frac{A_i, (A_1 \wedge A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{(A_1 \wedge A_2)^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\wedge L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i \quad A_i, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \wedge A_2 \quad A_i, (A_1 \wedge A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A_i, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (contr)} (cut)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Disjunction)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \vee A_2} (\vee R) \quad \frac{A_1, (A_1 \vee A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C \quad A_2, (A_1 \vee A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{(A_1 \vee A_2)^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\vee L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i \quad A_i, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_i \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A_1 \vee A_2 \quad A_i, (A_1 \vee A_2)^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A_i, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (contr)} (cut)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Quantifier)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R) \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(y)}{A(y), (\exists x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{(\exists x A(x))^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Quantifier)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R) \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(y)}{A(y), (\exists x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{(\exists x A(x))^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{A(t), \Delta \Rightarrow C}}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Quantifier)

$$\frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x)} (\exists R) \quad \frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(y)}{A(y), (\exists x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{(\exists x A(x))^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\exists L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{A(t), \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t) \quad \frac{\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \exists x A(x) \quad \frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{A(t), (\exists x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{A(t), \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)}}{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (contr)$$

Mix Elimination: Principal Case (Quantifier)

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(y)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(y)} \quad (\forall R) \quad \frac{A(t), (\forall x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{(\forall x A(x))^n, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (\forall L)}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)$$

Case 1: $n = 1$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)} \quad A(t), \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (cut)$$

Case 2: $n > 1$

$$\frac{\frac{\mathcal{D}(t)}{\Gamma \Rightarrow A(t)} \quad \frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \forall x A(x) \quad A(t), (\forall x A(x))^{n-1}, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{A(t), \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (mix)}{\frac{\Gamma, \Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C}{\Gamma, \Delta \Rightarrow C} (contr)} (cut)$$

Mix Elimination: Other Cases

- ▶ Axiom case: mix trivializes.
- ▶ Non-principal cases: the same as cut.
- ▶ Weakening principal case: do weakening for Γ .
- ▶ Contraction principal case: contraction includes into mix.