## ON EMBEDDING SIMPLY-CONNECTED MANIFOLDS IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

## S. P. NOVIKOV

We shall consider compact smooth manifolds and smooth mappings. As usual, a mapping f:  $M^n \to W^m$  will be called regular if its Jacobian has rank n at every point, and completely regular if the inverse image  $f^{-1}(w)$  of every  $w \in \mathbb{F}^m$  contains no more than two points. We shall study the possibility of smoothly embedding  $M^n \subset E^{2n-1}$ . Our method generalizes Whitney's in [2], where he considers embeddings  $M^n \subset E^{2n}$ , and is based on an idea of Pontryagin's concerning homotopy groups of spheres [1].

Theorem 1. Every simply-connected odd-dimensional  $M^n$  (n > 6) can be smoothly embedded in  $E^{2n-1}$ 

The proof depends on a series of lemmas on regular mappings in the large. By means of a familiar technique elaborated by Whitney, one easily proves

**Lemma 1.\*** For every regular  $f: M^n \to E^{2n-k}$  with  $k < [\frac{n}{2}]$  there exists a regular  $g: M^n \to E^{2n-k}$ close to f in the C1 topology, such that

- 1) The equation g(x) = g(y) defines a compact submanifold  $\widetilde{M}_g^k \subset M^n \times M^n \Delta(M^n)$ , where  $\Delta$  is
  - 2) The projection  $p: M^n \times M^n \longrightarrow M^n$ , restricted to  $M_g^k$ , is a smooth mapping;
- 3) g is completely regular; the restriction of g to the singular submanifold  $M_g^k = p(\widetilde{M}_g^k) \subset M^n$  is a two-sheeted covering.

It follows from the lemma that the singular manifold  $M_g^k$  decomposes into some number s of speccial pairs of mutually homeomorphic connected components

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} (M_{g,1}^{k,i} \cup M_{g,2}^{k,i})$$

 $\bigcup_{i=1}^{s} (M_{g,1}^{k,i} \cup M_{g,2}^{k,i})$  such that  $g(M_{g,1}^{k,i}) = g(M_{g,2}^{k,i})$ , and some number t of connected manifolds  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} M_{g}^{k,j}$  on which g is a nontrivial 2-covering. Thus

$$M_{g}^{k} = \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{t} M_{g}^{k,j}\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{t} \left(M_{g,1}^{k,i} \cup M_{g,2}^{k,i}\right)\right).$$

Definition.  $M^n$  will be called k-parallelizable if an  $\epsilon$ -neighborhood  $U^{(k)}_{\epsilon}$  of the k-skeleton of a differentiable triangulation of  $M^n$  is parallelizable, for some sufficiently small  $\epsilon$ .

It is obvious that for  $n \ge 2k + 2$  our definition does not depend on the triangulation, and that a k-connected manifold is k-parallelizable. For k=1, our definition gives simply orientability. Note also that a k-parallelizable manifold is (k-1)-parallelizable. Let us now take  $n \ge 2k + 3$ .

**Lemma 2.** If  $M^n$  is k-parallelizable, then the singular submanifold  $M_g^k$  has trivial normal bundle in  $M^n$  and is a  $\pi$ -manifold (i.e., in an embedding  $M_g^k \subset E^m$  the normal bundle is trivial if  $m \geq 2k+3$ ).

The proof is based on the fact that  $M^n \times M^n - \overset{\circ}{\Delta}(M^n)$  is also k-parallelizable, while the normal

<sup>\*</sup>For k = 1 this lemma is contained in Whitney's work, for example, in [3].

bundle of a submanifold of dimension  $\leq k$ , contained in a k-parallelizable manifold of greater dimension, is constructed exactly as in a euclidean space of the same dimension.

Now suppose n even, k = 1,  $M^n$  orientable.

**Lemma 3.** The singular manifold  $M_g^1 \subset M^n$  consists only of singular pairs of circles.

Suppose on the contrary that  $M_g^1$  contains a circle  $S_g^1 \in M_g^1$  on which g is a connected 2-covering. Obviously  $g(S_g^1) = S^1 \in E^{2n-1}$ . Choose a system  $(\mathbb{W}_1, \dots, \mathbb{W}_{n-1})$  of independent vector fields tangent to  $M^n$  and transversal to  $S_g^1 \in M^n$ .

Then, roughly speaking, there results a decomposition of the normal bundle of  $g(S_g^1) \subset E^{2n-1}$  into a sum of 2-plane bundles  $\mu_i^{(2)}$ ,  $i=1,\cdots,n-1$ , generated by the vectors  $W_i$ . Each of the bundles  $\mu_i^{(2)}$  is transversal to the circle  $g(S_g^1)$  and has transition matrix  $A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , that is, is nonorientable. The Whitney sum of an odd number of such bundles is also nonorientable, therefore nontrivial. But since n-1 is odd, while the normal bundle of a circle in euclidean space must be trivial, we obtain a contradiction, and the lemma is proved.

More generally, when k = 1, we have

**Lemma 4.** The number t(g) of connected singular coverings is always even. The map g is regularly homotopic to a map  $g_1$  having no singular nontrivial 2-coverings.

The proof of this lemma is of rather different character, and is based on studying the projection  $M^n \in E^{2n} \to E^{2n-1}$  where  $g = \pi \circ \widetilde{g}$  and  $\widetilde{g}$  is completely regular. (We can always reach this situation by means of a small deformation of the mapping in  $E^{2n}$ , and a projection of this small deformation into  $E^{2n-1}$ , which obviously preserves the properties mentioned in Lemma 1.)

The behavior of this projection is further described in the following (trivial) lemmas:

**Lemma 4a.** If  $\widetilde{g}: M^n \to E^{2n}$  and  $\pi \widetilde{g}: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  are regular, then  $\widetilde{g}$  is regularly homotopic to an embedding and has an even number of pairs of singular points.

**Lemma 4b.** A connected singular covering for g can arise under the projection only from an odd number of pairs of singular points of  $\tilde{g}$ .

A singular pair can arise in the projection only from an even number of pairs of singular points of  $\widetilde{g}$ .

**Lemma 4c.** There exists a regular homotopy  $\widetilde{g}_t$  of  $\widetilde{g} = \widetilde{g}_0$  such that

- 1)  $\mathcal{L}_{t}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{t}$  are regular for  $t \leq 1$ , and completely regular for t = 1;  $\mathcal{L}_{t}$  satisfies Lemma 1;
- 2) coverings of the map  $\widehat{\pi g}_1$  can arise under the projection from a pair of singular points, while singular pairs of circles can come from nothing.

From now on we consider only mappings  $g: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  which have no connected singular coverings. Suppose also that  $\pi_1(M^n) = 0$ . Following Pontryagin [1], we define an invariant of a singular pair and an invariant of the mapping g.

Definition of the invariant of a singular pair. Let  $S_1^1$ ,  $S_2^1 \subset M^n$ ,  $g(S_1^1) = g(S_2^1)$ . Consider a pair of discs  $\sigma_1^2$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 \subset M^n$  such that  $\sigma_1^2 \cap \sigma_2^2 = \emptyset$  and  $\partial \sigma_1^2 = S_1^1$ ,  $\partial \sigma_2^2 = S_2^1$ . We define a system of vector fields  $\mathbb{V}_j^{(i)}$ ,  $i=1, 2; j=1, \cdots, n-2$  on  $M^n$ , orthogonal to the  $\sigma_i^2$ . We put  $\mathbb{V}_{n-1}^{(i)} = \partial \sigma_i^2 / \partial t$  where t denotes the radii of the films (i.e., these are transversal to the set  $S_i^1$  and to the  $\mathbb{V}_j^{(i)}$ ,  $j \leq n-2$ ). We obtain vectors  $\dot{g}(\mathbb{V}_j^{(i)}) = \mathbb{V}_{j+(i-1)j}$ , transversal to  $g(S_i^1)$  and independent. These correspond to an element  $\alpha \in \pi_1(GL(2n-2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ .

**Lemma 5.** If the generator of  $H^n(M^n, Z_2)$  has the form  $Sq^2(x), x \in H^{n-2}(M^n, Z_2)$ , then the discs

 $\sigma_i^2$  and the fields  $W_i^{(i)}$  can be chosen that  $\alpha = 0$ .

In case  $H^n(M^n, Z_2)/\operatorname{Im} Sq^2 = Z_2$  the invariant  $\alpha$  of the singular pair does not depend on the choice of the discs  $\sigma_i^2$ . In this case the sum  $\sum_{L} \alpha_k$  of the invariants of all the singular pairs  $S_k =$  $(S_{g,1}^{1,k} \cup S_{g,2}^{1,k})$  can be considered as an invariant of  $g: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  at least when the latter has no connected singular coverings.

**Lemma 6.** If  $M^n$  is simply-connected and n=4l+3, then the invariant  $\sum_{k} \alpha_k$  vanishes for any regular g:  $M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  with the properties of Lemma 1.\*

This lemma is an important step, and its proof, which is direct and geometrical, is rather complicated. However, it also follows easily from recent work by Hirsch on regular mappings [4].

Let  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  be two singular pairs of the mapping  $g: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  such that  $\alpha(S_1) = \alpha(S_2)$ .

Lemma 7. There exists a regular homotopy  $g_t$  of  $g = g_0$  such that  $g_1$  satisfies Lemma 1 and has two fewer singular pairs than  $g = g_0$ .

The proof generalizes a familiar proof of Whitney's [2] for pairs of singular points. We attach rings  $B_1 = S_1^1 \times I$  and  $B_2 = S_2^1 \times I$  to  $M^n$  in such a way that  $S_i^1 \times \epsilon$  form the pair  $S_1$  and  $S_i^1 \times (1 - \epsilon)$ form  $S_2$ . We can arrange  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$ . On these rings we take vector fields  $W_i^{(i)}$ , i = 1, 2; j = 1, •••, n-2 extending those on the discs which induce the invariants  $\alpha(S_i)$ . We can easily ensure that the frames  $(\tau_i, \mathring{g}(\mathbb{W}_j^{(1)}), \mathring{g}(\mathbb{W}_j^{(2)}))$  (where the  $\tau_i$  are the fields tangent to the  $g(S_i)$ ) define opposite orientations, for i = 1, 2. Now we attach a "Whitney cell"  $\psi: \sigma^2 \times S^1 \to E^{2n-1}$  such that

$$\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1) \cap g(M^n) = g(B_1) \cup g(B_2);$$

we must also choose  $\psi$  to satisfy certain compatibility conditions on the boundaries. Now, since the invariants of  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  coincide, we can pick in a small neighborhood  $U(\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1))$  a suitable system of coordinates, one of which is the coordinate on the circle, two others are the standard 2-frames on  $\sigma^2$ , and the remainder satisfy our boundary conditions. With these coordinates in  $U(\psi(\sigma^2 \times S^1))$ , we can perform Whitney's deformation, for a constant circle-coordinate.

Repeating this construction and applying Lemma 6, we obtain finally a map  $g_S: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$ which has singular pairs with zero invariant only.

With  $g_S$  we may now proceed in either of two ways: following [2], we may attach complementary pairs with zero invariants, and apply Lemma 7, or we may simply carry out a direct separation of a pair with zero invariants.\*\* In either case we arrive at an embedding. The theorem thus follows from the preceding lemmas.

Note that the lemmas imply the following conditional

**Theorem 2.** Suppose n=2l,  $n\geq 6$ ,  $\pi_1(M^n)=0$ . There is an embedding  $M^n\in E^{2n-1}$  if and only if there is an immersion  $M^n \to E^{2n-2}$ . (See [4].)\*\*\*

V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute Academy of Sciences of the USSR

Received 20/JAN/61

<sup>\*</sup>If n=4l+1 one can assert the existence of a  $g:M^n\to E^{2n-1}$  with zero invariant, since there exists an immersion  $M^n\to E^{2n-2}$  [4].

<sup>\*\*</sup>A method for separating a singular pair with zero invariant has been indicated to me by D. B. Fuks, who kindly took an interest in the present article.

\*\*\*Closer study shows that for n = 4l + 2, the invariant  $\alpha$  is a homotopy invariant of  $M^n$ : it does not depend on the immersion  $g: M^n \to E^{2n-1}$  when  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] L. S. Pontryagin, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. no. 45. Izdat. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Moscow, 1955.
- [2] H. Whitney, Ann. of Math. 45 (1944), 220.
- [3] ----, Ann. of Math. 45 (1944), 247.
- [4] M. Hirsch, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. 93 (1959), 242.

Translated by:

J. M. Beck